



# Review of The Institute of Physics

Mrs M Hersom



By recorded delivery



For clarity, only the orbitals which form the  $\pi$  bonds are shown.

121 nm

$O=O$

Structural



16 February 2008

Dear Mrs Hersom

## SIMPLIFIED ORBITALS

We have shown molecular orbitals as accurate as possible, however such orbitals are difficult to represent in a simplified version of molecular orbitals and shows much about molecular structure and bonding.

I am writing to inform you that an Appeal Panel was established by the President following your letter dated the 28<sup>th</sup> of January 2008.

The decision of the Appeal Panel is that the appeal is dismissed and the original decision of the Disciplinary Committee to expel you from membership is upheld.

In accordance with Regulation 11.7.14 no further appeal is possible.

This letter has also been sent to you at 196 High Road, London N22 8HH

Yours sincerely

John Brindley  
Secretary to the Disciplinary Committee

Draw the bonding orbitals for:

$H_2O$

$NH_3$

$CO$

$CO_2$

By Mohini Hersom

All three p orbitals

The Institute of Physics, 76 Portland Place, London W1B 1NT, UK  
Tel +44 (0)20 7470 4800 Fax +44 (0)20 7470 4801 [www.iop.org](http://www.iop.org)

Incorporated by Royal Charter. Registered charity number 276221. Registered office: 76 Portland Place, London W1B 1NT, UK.  
Chief Executive: Dr Robert Kitching

Mrs M Hersom



By recorded delivery

15 February 2008

Dear Mrs Hersom

I am writing to inform you that an Appeal Panel was established by the President following your letter dated the 28<sup>th</sup> of January 2008.

The decision of the Appeal Panel is that the appeal is dismissed and the original decision of the Disciplinary Committee to expel you from membership is upheld.

In accordance with Regulation 11.7.14 no further appeal is possible.

This letter has also been sent to you at 196 High Road, London N22 8HH

Yours sincerely



John Brindley  
Secretary to the Disciplinary Committee





(I)

# **Review of the Institute of Physics**

**Self-Published by Mohini  
Hersom**

**1<sup>st</sup> ed, August 2008**

ISBN 978-0-9555757-4-7

## **Review of the Institute of Physics**

Mohini Hersom is a physicist who has been expelled from a learned society called the Institute of Physics. She believes that she is intentionally being ostracised by society, especially scientists, with whom she would be otherwise working. She believes that everything that happens does so with a reason.

What right does Adolf Hitler have to say that everyone who isn't blonde and blue-eyed should die, when he himself was a brunette

Downloaded :--“The Institute of Physics is a scientific membership organisation devoted to increasing the understanding and application of physics. It has an extensive worldwide membership (currently over 34,000) and is a leading communicator of physics with all audiences from specialists through government to the general public. Its publishing company, IOP Publishing, is a world leader in scientific publishing and the electronic dissemination of physics.

The Institute of Physics is committed to the principle of academic freedom and aims to implement this principle in all our activities: In the Institute's international relations programme, we aim to establish and maintain open and constructive relationships with academic institutions and learned societies from all countries. IOP accepts applications from individuals to its conferences and meetings irrespective of race, religion or nationality. Equally, IOP Publishing accepts submissions from all individuals irrespective of race, religion or nationality; uses international referees; and is always vigilant for bias in the peer review system. Our mission is to advance physics in order to further understanding of the physical world and its application for economic and social benefit; to promote interest and participation in physics across society as a whole; to support and involve physicists throughout their education and careers.

Our vision is to be a strong and inclusive scientific membership organisation, which makes the greatest contribution to advancing physics and ensuring that physics delivers significant economic and social benefits.

Our values are to Demonstrate integrity, objectivity and rigour; a clear drive to benefit society; and the strongest commitment to physics. Creating opportunities for all We are dedicated to securing a flourishing future for physics, particularly by providing resources, advice and training for teachers and encouraging young people to study physics beyond the age of 16. Benefiting society. We promote and support physics in furthering scientific knowledge and providing economic and social benefits in the UK and Ireland, and internationally -

especially in the developing world. Supporting members. Our diverse membership ranges from university students through qualified professionals employed in all sectors of the economy to the retired community. We are a place where any physicist or individual interested in physics can come for support, information and advice. We provide a range of services for both companies and individuals. A leading science communicator Communicating the benefits of science to a variety of audiences is one of the Institute's strategic goals. Please refer to our brochure for further details of our activities. Serving our communities It is through our groups and divisions that the Institute helps to support physics research and its applications. Our subject and professional groups are funded by the Institute and are a key member benefit, providing opportunities for networking and discussion. “

# Review of the Institute of Physics

Self-published by Mohini Hersom ©June 2008

196 High Road

Wood Green

London N22 8HH

©All Rights Reserved. No part or whole of this document may be reproduced, electronically or mechanically or by any other means without written permission of the publisher.

**What right does Hitler have to say that all people without blonde hair and blue eyes should die when he himself is a brunette!**



# **Table of Contents**

**Preface 3**

**Introduction Why I want to write a review of The Institute Of Physics 4**

**Part I Sociological models to understand The Institute Of Physics a practitioner of diversity doctrine 18**

**Part II Applying sociological models to The Institute Of Physics Explained 55**

**Part III There's got to be more than one view. Why can't I have more support from people for my views?86**

**Part IV Sex Enforcement Diversity Religion 114**

**Figures 1-14**

## PREFACE

**M**y name is Mohini Hersom. I am a woman. I am Asian Indian by race. I was born on 28-08-1958. This book here is a review of The Institute of Physics. I am a victim of torture. This book is a by-product of torture. I have used figures of speech in this book. It is therefore recommended for those who have English reading skills on an advanced level. For comments on my typos and grammatical errors and other matters I can be emailed at [mohinihersom@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:mohinihersom@yahoo.co.uk) with REVIEW in block capitals on the subject line.



## INTRODUCTION

### Why I want to write a review of The Institute of Physics.

**M**y name is Mohini Hersom. I have a BSc (Hons) from The University of Manchester formerly known as UMIST. I also have an MSc in Mathematics. I am a member if UK Mensa, London Mathematical Society and British Computer Society at present.

For about two years, I was a member of The Institute of Physics, (IOP-<http://www.iop.org/index.html>), but in March 2007, I was expelled from there. But The Institute of Physics sent my letter of expulsion to an address where I'd lived a year before that date. I was no longer living there or expecting mail there.

Actually, until August 2007, I had been regularly receiving Physics World, Interactions and other correspondence from the Institute of Physics at the address where I lived, and so had no reason to believe that the Institute of Physics would have sent me mail at that "obsolete" address, or that I had been expelled.

Now it might have been that the Institute of Physics sent my letter of expulsion to an address where I could not receive it, because, they did not want me to appeal the expulsion, which was supposed to happen within a 15-day deadline after the date of refusal.

For Mr John Brindley, Head of the Disciplinary Committee of the Institute of Physics initially said that I would not be allowed to appeal that decision, even though I had come to know about the decision five months after the deadline for appeals had expired through no fault of mine, all because of a mistake made by The Institute of Physics.

An appeal was lodged, and the Institute of Physics acted as though they did not receive it, and then sent a refusal of that appeal. A request had already been made to speak to the President of The Institute of Physics, Mr. Peter Saraga, but he had simply ignored it for months until the ultimate denial came.

The Institute of Physics said that they had expelled me for something that I had put on a website, but despite asking what that might be, they never disclosed that information.

Another woman, a white woman called Barbara, who was also expelled from the Institute of Physics at around the same time as myself, had charges of having made a racist attack.

I am told that Barbara has a BSc in Physics, and is retired from working for the police where she worked in fingerprinting.

She has been pointed out to me only once, so that, if I should accidentally run into her on the street, I am unlikely to recognize her. I cannot know if she is a nice person, having had so many bad experiences with human nastiness in my life. But it is not, and never has been my personal policy to lick all those who suck up to me and “kill” all others, which seems to be a serious social illness amongst people I know.

I will not therefore fail to give her credit for having been wronged, even if I were to meet her and she were to treat me badly. You see, people don't give me any credit for intelligence, nor do they treat me like a human being. I do not believe in falsely accusing the enemy under any circumstances, just to gain ground. In my opinion, people who are concocting lies about people in the struggle for equality behave in this way only because they have no moral justification to receive that equality status nor do they deserve to acquire those items, in some cases even those virtues that would make them “equals”.

And people who are involved in the struggle to “be an equal”, or simply to “become an equal” are blinded by this uncontrollable passion to acquire something, and they go lying and stealing to “win”, feeling deep within that some things are legally wrong, or simply can't be disclosed in public, but they are still morally right.

Anyhow, it seems that Barbara was expelled in the form of a resignation because she would not write an apology to Asians. Further Barbara did not have a clue which Asian (woman) had reported her as being a racist, or what incident had sparked the complaint.

So much so that Barbara had allegedly named me as the culprit who must have reported her for racism, which had resulted in her immediate expulsion.

This can be explained by the fact that several white males of the Institute of Physics hated me, and were telling Barbara that I was some kind of monster. Anyhow, she had described various Asian women to them, saying they were me, but none of her descriptions had fitted me.

It turns out then that I have much in common with Barbara in one respect, which is that both of us were expelled without giving reasons, which in my case was certainly done in an unfair manner.

It also turns out that Barbara is currently waging a war against one Dr. Ross Campbell, who is trying to have her arrested by the police, while other white male members of the Institute of Physics have reported numerous comments made by Barbara, which, if she had made them, would show her to be insane.

Of course, I am unable to confirm or deny these allegations. To me hearsay evidence is only hearsay evidence.

Oh, by the way, people have been calling me insane for a long time. It was always in connection with my expressed opinions, being unpopular with men for not embracing and providing women's support to women's women, a special sexual institution that supplies the correction factor to make women who can't stand up to men acquire as tall a stature as a standard man. I have a peeve about people having over the years twisted and changed my responses to their queries, before reporting those responses.

The altered version of what I'd said, rather than what I'd truly said, would point to my being insane.

These men were NHS medics. When I do get on the trail to them, they make themselves unavailable for comment.



Being maybe 15 years younger than Barbara, I have probably suffered more of this abuse than her, meaning the abuse of calling a woman insane if she expresses her own opinions, thus being on the same level as a man.

For it seems that Barbara hates coloured people, and is ranting and insane. They say that she is full of stories of Asians and blacks following her home.

My own experience with Dr Ross Campbell had not been too positive either. I recall that I had a feud with him before my expulsion from The Institute Of Physics. The end-point of our communications had come when Dr Ross Campbell had enumerated my sexual duties as a woman to The Institute of Physics career advisor Vishanti. I never saw Ross nor spoke to him again after the day he had given me orders to discharge my sexual duties to the post-pubescent Polynesian career advisor

When I had met Ross for the first time, he had shocked me by telling me that I should go to jail, within the first five minutes of meeting me. The feud had been on account of my chastising him for his bad manners. This had made him even more ill-mannered without a logical provocation.

There is a Barton Business Centre in The Institute of Physics. It is a lounge with computer terminals and printing facilities for members. I used to spend a lot of time in the Barton, being jobless.

Once, when I was working at a terminal, I had opened an email which had on the line which was supposed to show the email address of the sender, some other anomalous or irregular information.

So I had printed out this information, and it had turned out to be a letter written by one Ross Campbell, to City Lawyers about his ongoing litigation in which they were representing him. I had had no idea who that was. And I had kept working.

A short while later, I had got talking to the stranger who happened to be seated at the terminal that was opposite mine. After our little chat he had given his name as Ross Campbell. I had given him that letter I had printed out at once, explaining how I had got it.

I had added that this had happened obviously because a computer hacker had been messing around at the terminals. At that time, Ross had added that he passionately hated members of my race, having dated a girl of my race, and having become disillusioned with her.



He had however added that he was a Buddhist, and that he embraced the religion of India (Hinduism). It was at this point in our conversation that Ross had said about my going to jail.

He had persisted with this unreasonable demand despite my looking shocked, having no idea why I was supposed to go there.

It also seems that later on, Ross had complained about me to the very aggressive strong-arm woman who is PA to the disciplinary officer John Brindley that I had hacked out his private mail, and was stealing at the computer terminals.

This had happened before March 2007, and so this Ross episode might have caused my unexpected dismissal.

After this single episode which happened the very first time that I had set sight of Ross, I had emailed him, as I had been feeling very bad after his aggressive behaviour, and was bent on coming to a settlement of that matter of whether I really needed to go to jail, because I had committed no crime.

So, I had sent him a total of 3-4 emails, over a span of about 6 months that followed. And I had sent 1-2 of these emails after Ross had announced his intention to sue me if I sent one more email.

The content of these emails was about the abuse I had suffered from certain other Institute of Physics members.

My emails had told about how several male members of The Institute of Physics had threatened me over to stubborn insistence that their well-represented and spoken-for career, an unqualified Polynesian advisor was no advisor, and that I should be allowed to speak to one of the male physicists to discuss my career.

But one of The Institute of Physics' directors Dr Adrian Huntley had emailed me in connection with my request to speak to someone who was male, and qualified in Physics. I still have that email which says that he (Adrian) was far more interested in promoting the career of the Polynesian advisor than in my complaint of insufficient service, and said that he would stick up for her through and through.

See figure 1

"We employ women for their wisdom", Adrian had said. 31, 2

“Vishanti is the Institute’s career advisor. There is no way that we can have anyone but her speak to you.

There is no facility for Physics careers other than Vishanti here. If Vishanti feels that you are an idiot, you are to trust her judgement, hold onto her as the rope to the world.” I was angry, but Adrian would not budge.

My feud with Ross had taken place many months after I had been expected by Adrian to hold on to Vishanti as the rope to the world, and the only lucky break in life. When I had met Ross face-to-face at the Barton Business Centre, he had said that I had a sexual obligation to Vishanti to collaborate with her because I was a woman, and that her insults were only feminine frankness.

She was engaging in a method that comes naturally to women, and was telling me the truth about exactly how bleak my future was. He said again, that I was to TRUST HER JUDGEMENT AND HONEST EVALUATION.

See Figure 2

I guess that I cannot help feeling that Vishanti Lall, who is portrayed as a big advisor was a good secretary with great shorthand skills, and she was being given this inflated status because she satisfied suitable psychometric standards to be a candidate for personal aggrandizement through the doctrines of the equality and diversity movement.

And that her progression in her career really consists of her “being carried” by lots and lots of men. In other words, she is goaded and goaded to be a great advisor, but that she continues (despite so much encouragement) to know nothing.

That’s the most I can say if I was to be asked to explain why they behave in this way. In other words, I have not figured out fully why the Institute of Physics men generally have an almost homicidal reaction when they are told that Vishanti is no good as an advisor, and that I’d like to speak to a man, and somebody more mature than her, someone who is a properly qualified practising and experienced physicist.

But I know that The Institute of Physics want to prove that Vishanti is great, and will literally “kick off” those who disagree. Naturally, those who really want career advice, and who need to connect to someone who is already in the trade HAVE NO OPPORTUNITIES.

I feel sure that The Institute of Physics wants to encourage women who are pipsqueaks and women who satisfy sexual and psychometric criteria to swarm into Physics to the detriment of women who are equal to men or who tell their opinions.

I feel that women who have opinions (other than those printed in IOP magazines or tasty titbits thrown out by psychologists) will be cast out ruthlessly, especially those who don't benefit from the "New Age Sex Help" or from "the diversity movement's tasty titbits" that are thrown out at women and at people.

Even Kalmus, the Chairman of the London and South-eastern Branch of The Institute of Physics told me to take it from a secretary's backside, when I told him that secretaries "refuse me things". He said : "Secretaries are very intelligent these days. If a secretary feels that you have no case, then you must TRUST HER JUDGEMENT."

Now, when a learned society abuses me, I find once again, that the existing complaints procedures are a farce.

The Charities Commission is staffed with people who, having no more than High School education, and perhaps less than that, whom I call illiterates, and, like all other agencies, they are mostly female.

They said that they only dealt with charity law. Naturally, they could do nothing to help if got mistreated by a charity, or if the charity behaved in a most uncharitable way, and if they were very abusive. None of these items is covered by charity law.



Charity law is limited to misuse of funds, and can also do nothing if funds are misappropriated, because they have no ability to assess the viability of a project undertaken by a charity under public funding.

People can always go on five-star holidays and group parties which have no useful professional purpose, but tell the Charities Commission that it was a research expedition.

For example, I feel that going as a group to another town, and all these adults standing on a pavement of a prominent road with something which looks like a popcorn stall with Physics-related sentence painted on the walls of the stall is unlikely to get children into Physics. See Figure 3.

It is just a silly party where physicists go with women to s\*\*\*\*. (Oops). (Please).

All I told the Charities Commission when they told me that they only dealt with charity law was that a useless “13 year old” career advisor was travelling to five-star hotels all over the country and talking to people to Spread The Word of Physics, but that she did not have a Physics qualification or a scientific qualification, nor, after an honest assessment, did I find her to know anything at all.

Project Vishanti was frankly a waste of people's time, money and human resources to maintain her as an advisor.

Charities Commission officer Mike Birnie said “ I see nothing wrong with that.”, when I wrote to him asking if he thought that it was fine for charity funds to be used for group dating and spending the night in five-star hotels and for taking women to five-star hotels to get them into Physics through pampering and indoctrination.

Birnie wrote to that too , “ I see thing wrong with that.” (I will pull out these emails as I am only writing these anecdotes from memory.)

So the Charities Commission virtually said that if they wanted to use charity funds to take women to hotels for sex to encourage “Physics” in women. He did not see anything wrong with that.

There was also the Privy Council who said that they could not take complaints about The Institute of Physics as they had rendered it a chartered society, and could not take complaints against it unless the matter was a very serious one. So, they too made it impossible to complain about the Institute of Physics.

That is why I want to write a review of the Institute of Physics.



# **Part I**

## **Sociological Models to understand the Institute of Physics, a practitioner of diversity doctrine**

As I have explained, I am NOT qualified in Law or Sociology. However I shall configure the following sociological models which I shall apply to the Institute of Physics.

**I Model of The Zoo.** Supposing a man says, “I want to take my children to the zoo.” “Otherwise, they will never get the opportunity to see rare and exotic animals that I see every so often myself. I wish for my children to also see these delights”.

We say, “Very good, sir.” Next, consider the particular case where the same man loads say twenty children into a van and drives off to the zoo. None of these children are his, or not more than one or two of this are his.

We say, “Very good sir, you are a generous man.” Next consider the particular case of the above situation where each of the twenty or so children is over 21 years of age

We say, “That’d s lot of over-aged oversized children you have got in your van to the zoo.”

Finally, we consider the particular case of the situation described immediately above, in which these grown-up children are NOT members of his race, but have diverse backgrounds (EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY).

We say, “That’s very interesting, sir. You want these oversized children to get their first thrill and spills while experiencing “zoo delights”, so that they might have THE OPPORTUNITY to experience a day from your own life. This zoo project, to make more children see the zoo is your raison’d etre, your movement and drive for the emancipation of over-aged, oversized, squealing, squiggly, giggly, zealous, whooping and passionate children.”

In the zoo, there are two species, broadly speaking: “ANIMAL-TYPES” and PEOPLE. The two are functionally different. People are caretakers, and animals are dependants. This functional relationship of animals to their masters is preserved in The Model of the Zoo, just as it preserved is in the zoo.

## II. Model of the Brothel.

The brothel being a subset of human society, it is populated by male and female residents, and the two species are unequal in mental status and in social status. But, like the prostitute and pimp, male and female residents enjoy a symbiotic relationship.

A female brothel resident performs her role (I didn't say that she performs sex, so please don't misunderstand me) through a process of self-deprecation in some shape or form to male residents. We shall however understand that the self-deprecation is something very subtle, almost intangible.

Males are functionally masters, females "self-deprecators" who bow in order to eat, bow in order to live, and bow in order to get their credentials of intellectuality, and of independent thinking, and to EARN THEIR FAME. These certificates of aggrandizement are provided by the male residents.

If a female newcomer (foreigner) enters the system and refuses "sex" to men, she is piled up under a heap of women to whom "she must give sex".

Otherwise, unlike how "(non-jail-trotting)" men behave, being subject to a criminal code, the women, with "grab her by force" in order to "get the sex" that had just been vehemently refused to them by the newcomer.

I hope that it is clear that I have used figures of speech in the above paragraph. However, the term “sex” has been used as a euphemism in place of the word “sex”. If you have neither witnessed the above-mentioned behaviour, nor have participated in this behaviour, or if you were an unaware and involuntary participant or witness, I trust that you cannot understand me. In that case, maybe you don’t mind being grabbed because you have no ability to feel sensation, and possess no sensibility in the matter of being grabbing and being grabbed, so that the concept of consent is as alien to you as a distant galaxy.

If this is a pretty good description of you, then you are a natural resident of the “female genital world”, and will advance in leaps and bounds inside the safe, close and intimate confines of women’s groups.

And if you neither understand figures of speech, nor can identify here, and elsewhere that I have indeed used them, or if you have much difficulty in making an assessment of whether an item is a figure of speech or a literal physical description, or if that really matters to you (it doesn’t to me), I am tongue-tied and don’t know how to help you gain comprehension.

I have difficulty in bridging the gap between you and me to make my writings extremely easy for you to understand.

This model of the brothel, in which women who won't "give sex" to men are abandoned by men to die under a heap or pile of self-deprecating women to whom they "give sex" until their life is snuffed out will explain why people would expect me to speak only to women.

What with the fact that I can never get a spot of respect from a man, and I am treated "like a dead body" by everyone, most certainly by all men, never being able to get a spot of recognition of my intelligence, getting punished every time I open my mouth and say things that only a man would say, rather than what the mushy mush mush would say.

In the matter of being thus sexually tortured inside the confines of a brothel, I introduce a new term prostoprostitute . I hope that you will use this term again. I hope that you will meet a prostoprostitute face-to-face, and learn how to distinguish her from the more common kind, prostitutes.

This term shall mean a woman who has been, prostituted, under threat and sheer brute force, to prostitutes for refusing to prostitute herself to men.



### III. Model of the Private Privates.

(See Figure 6)

This model can be used only to describe a society or group where a particular complex doctrine, which is described below is enforced .

A very famous man, who has now been long dead, called Adolph Hitler (Captain Hitler Ahoy!!) had only one testicle. We know about his privates all over the world, and the knowledge of details about his privates is being handed down over the generations after his death.

Thus we can see that Hitler has got “intensely public privates”. I mean he lives on. Does he not? We shall find out...

Well now there is a book called ACAS. I am not sure what ACAS stands for. It is a legal employment-related book of English law. I don't know Law, and so I don't know if ACAS is a book with a set of statutes or just a set of recommendations for good practice for use by employers.

I read one of the ACAS books, which was either ACAS 2004 or ACAS 2005 from cover to cover.

While the ACAS recommends that every office should have Mecca praying rooms for Muslim employees, it also provides a very sublime status to female genitalia, being different from males.

The ACAS says that women's privates have a special and distinguishable legal status----Women have got intensely private privates.

Now, we cannot help remembering that most people who sell their private parts are female. Correction-My man friend tells me that I should never say that hookers sell their private parts, because they only rent them out.

And if you read the London Metro for any period of time, you can easily see what shape the private parts are of those who narrate their sexual episodes in detail to the public.

So maybe ACAS had meant to tell the truth about female private parts, which is that they are intensely public private parts. Of course, that would not sound very nice, even if were to be true and correct.



So much so that one ends up covering up the truth by saying that women have intensely private privates..

Now remember how many people (I have heard so many scientists say this) say they feel sorry for those poor women who are forced to live as hookers to supplement their income. At least a section of British society is certainly on the warpath to remove the stigma attached to prostitutes.

People deeply desire that prostitutes, having their poor standards through no fault of theirs, should be able to enjoy the natural privileges of some other kind of person.

A gun-toting system to deplete others of life and property, so that cats might enjoy all the privileges of dogs without ever learning even to mimic their bark

The diversity movement wants prostitutes to have waived (by law) the particular disadvantages of being stigmatized. If then, you are a person who wants cartloads of tribals+ to come to the talkies+, (figure of speech) so that they might get THE OPPORTUNITY to see the cinema, because you are terribly passionate for the emancipation of tribals, you begin to practice Tribal Outreach Projects and you bribe tribals with gifts of money as a motivator because they have absolutely no motivation to come.

This kind of stigma removal and outreach projects are the true lifeblood of The Institute of Physics which uses dissemination of Physics to achieve its real and only goal- emancipation of people afflicted by feminine diseases and follies.

You heroes all of who care about and save prostitutes from unhappiness, when you give her a job to get her off the streets, will you desist from allowing her to rise to a higher position than THE ULTIMATE BOTTOM in the interests of national security.

Is it not better that the head of a nation is not a prostitute, am would-be prostitute, or a wannabe prostitute, carefully remembering that Adolph Hitler, who at an earlier stage of his life, worked his ass off as a prostitute. Try to be a little balanced about prostitutes. That's all I can say.

It seems also that it is becoming increasingly socially acceptable for people to write, and talk about their private parts. Nowadays, celebrities are answering questions on

television and on interviews broadcasted over the internet, about their private parts, and they are being politely asked such questions by men, women and mixed groups of interviewers.

I think that all this is caused by the infiltration of Nazi-ism which never died when Hitler did.

And you know what Hitler likes to do-He likes to kill people

And you know what Hitler would feel about a person who criticizes him, even if it's only to politely say that he likes killing people-He hates them.

And what does Hitler do when he finds somebody who is not praising him all the time? In the greater mode, people who disagree with him die instantly

In the lesser mode, Hitler silences them under the Mental Health Act, if bullying did not work. Depending on how the victim behaves, he may or may not be put away. A small deterrent from putting away sane people comes from the law of the land. This deterrent can however be circumvented sometimes.

Dual statuses and unaccountability are methods that can be used to circumvent the law of the land to perpetrate torture and tyranny and come out on top forever so that nobody suffers but is prevented from testifying, because it is hard to find anyone with a moral conscience. People feel that they no longer need to care for the world's victims, because they feel there must be some agency that will provide someone equipped with (over-active/disproportionately large) female genitalia who can provide the victim with special delights unknown to men, thanks to those mysterious female genitalia

If nothing, the mental health system can always use its "unqualified moron component" to throw mud on your reputation and your whines will be ignored even if you die, because unqualified mental health workers, especially those endowed with women's sexual urges and those endowed with jurisdiction over women's women, because those tyrannical low IQ sexually coercive "homoholics", the illiterate pseudo-psychiatrists have a dual set of rights, one set of having the moral immunity enjoyed by secretaries in how they act and behave and how they treat people; and another set of rights to perform the functions of a psychiatrist without getting through their GCSEs thanks to team work, and faceless sociopathic equality.

As women's women, they have the right, independent of race, to enforce sexual torture on those who comment on their inferior mental status. Whereas they have been given a certain kind of moral immunity against censure and recrimination that by this artificial method makes them "equal" to a man. Men will cast me out, make me fall foul of the law isolate deprive and torture me until I never utter a squeak about the mental deficits in these homoholic women's women and women's mentors.

It is no wonder that women's women always score 100/100 in the media. Anybody who says other than encouraging things about women;s women perishes in the UK, or may be deported as unworthy if they are foreigners. But considering that women's



women enjoy an unnatural level of male support to assert their natural urges and inferiorities, they are employed by men to punish others, either in formal punitive roles or simply because she is a sexual convenience or accompanying comfort-cushion for men to inflict pain and punishment

If a person, who is completely sane, or insane, is put away for the reason of testifying against an agency, Government body etc, they are usually rendered dysfunctional through drugging. In most cases, the person can speak and remember after drugs are withdrawn, which will eventually happen.

However the mental health system has its ways, without murdering a person, or giving them as invasive a "treatment" as frontal lobotomy, (after which the person would develop a handicap which cannot be easily masked) to prevent a witness from testifying that she was victim of a crime. I believe that some handicaps, physical, mental, or being both, can be easily masked, the person made to look as if they are fully able as long as they live.

I believe that successful non-invasive manipulation of the psyche to make them "bury the hatchet" "give in" or simply "shut up" and then appear to be happy for the rest of their lives after treatment, has always been more successful on females than on males.



The psychiatric system has many ways of silencing a person from testifying about contrary views to popular trends or allegations of torture and persecution without surgical procedures that remove a portion of one's brain, and without chemically creating permanent memory loss.

Now success of any complaint, or chances of winning a legal case often depend upon hearsay evidence, and upon who will speak well of you or ill of you and the whole process is all about groups of people talking about people.

When a person is temporarily decommissioned, through psychiatry or by diverse coercive tactics, their "enemies" gain time and gain ground, by "showing" "people" that everything that the victim said was nonsense.

A lot of people even some men do "give up" and bury the hatchet after receiving the "treatments" where the "doctors" ( a wide range of individuals ranging from fully qualified "doctors" to uneducated people with intelligence on the low-grade moron level, who all work as "a team") have successfully twisted their arm to gain permanent agreement.

A successful recipient of psychological counselling falls into that category. Psychological counselling (contrary to the beliefs of most people in Science who feel that I can be made to become more woman oriented through “scientific drugs” and counselling) only “benefits” people who have some depravity they feel uncomfortable with, people who “failed an ability test” and want counselling like a fix at regular intervals to “feel smart”, and people who are so weak-minded that they cannot deal with guilt issues of oneself or others, or are mentally too frail to mentally perform the indictment of those who abuse them.

Such a person would “go to counselling” knowing that after the “treatment”, they will happily “know” that the people that “did them in” are in fact good people, or become convinced into some kind of pseudo-scientific or pseudo religious argument that the abusers are really not bad people.

You might have met people, (usually women), who would tell you a story such as one where their mother used to burn them and force them to eat dog food, but they would say that she was not a bad person. Common sense would suggest that the mother was an exceptionally bad person, but the daughter is mentally weak, and the reality of her mother who tortured her being a horrible person is too painful for her to bear.

Going to a counsellor, who will persuade (and in some cases use strong-arm techniques) to alter the woman's beliefs means that in the end, the (weak-minded) woman can be a happy person. She is kept happy through the concealment of certain truths from her; at the same time she is deluded into believing that she is mentally competent of wielding power and authority.

Whoever is an embarrassment to the complex "equality maintenance scheme" (scheme that offers multiple protections to keep women to keep looking as though they are competent to wield power) is ruthlessly tortured murdered or systematically exterminated under "rights law".

The simplest rule of the equality maintenance scheme is the way sex discrimination is enforced. For example, you are not allowed for example to say, think or breathe a statement that women are cowards.

Never mind if it is true or false, but you are always to suspect the positive about them.

This is another way of encouraging an extremely weak-minded person, who will "fall apart" or need counselling if they are told, made to understand it, every time they do something stupid that is characteristic of women's stupidity.

The sex discrimination law is there because men want it, and have a cherished goal that women should never feel stigmatized, or that as a species they might lack anything.

This way of “becoming a happy person” can also happen to males but this weakness is largely female-dominated.

For example, when someone asks an agency worker if we can speak to her superior, she invariably suffers an uncontrollable weakness that makes her “crack up”, and she refuses to co-operate, suffering loss of civil courtesy. She might even retort with the SEXUALLY POPULAR PHRASE, “You sound incoherent”.

By being a worker in an agency, she enjoys a higher level of PROTECTION against open discussion or complaint about her moral misconducts that NatWest Bank use on their vaults to prevent robbers from making away with the cash.

In actual practice there is no way by law to being a complaint of sexual assault or harassment against a female body even if you have photos and a lot of evidence. As such, any complaint of rudeness even crudeness, made against an agency worker, which would obviously get her to be seen differently from an extremely polished and ethereal princess would roll off the system like water off a duck's back.



If any woman has ever succeeded in making a complaint against an agency it is because she had a lot of friends all of who had female genitals and all of who agreed that you can complain. Agency worker stays ETERNALLY UNEXPOSED unless her ANIMALISTIC emotional relationship with her male or female boss turns sour, which does not happen very often.

Immunity on conduct matters is given to agency workers by their bosses not through logical and lawful reasons, but because all people in question have URGES AND INSTINCTS (ANIMAL COMPONENT OF MAN) that makes all of them agency folks couple as a mesh. Therefore the sweet agency worker advisor has NOTHING to worry about if you ask to speak to her supervisor, or superiors, even if it was regarding a complaint about her, so that her extreme emotional inability to cope with somebody asking to speak to her superiors is largely through her own hysteria and mental weakness.

Being hardly able to spell or count, she is emotionally traumatized if anyone says anything to her which challenges her very strong belief, "THERE IS NOBODY ABOVE ME."



Anyhow to protect herself against this “crack up” she likes to “win” using false allegations and false incident reports enforced through group false witnessing of a like-minded club of heroes on stilts.

This means that the person experiences too much of a mental challenge when brought to face truths about the existence of those who are intrinsically more able than her.

IQ tests have been created by psychologists to measure a person's intelligence. As such I think that they measure only a part of the intelligence, but IQ tests do measure your language and maths skills etc. There is a lot of lying done by this profession\* also which falsely establish a level of equality that does not exist. They lie about statistics and percentages, mouthing soothing “truths” that keep the emotional cripples working at the bottom of agencies “comfortable and happy”, and create a virtual reality, a walled garden where all people who go to that agency for “help” believe in its greatness and won't stop praising their efforts at social work.

As such people who give negative feedback to people who are at the bottom can be punished both under discrimination law, or by using the weapon of psychiatry, which only uses processes that rely through and through on hearsay evidence.

A small difference was made about two decades ago, allegedly through the removal of certain questions from ability tests that had been constructed by psychologists that showed a difference in ability to answer them correctly to be different for the sexes or for different races.

As such a lot of stuff that appears in print has been purged of ideas that present an unwelcome front to the effeminate mind.

You see there have been civilizations in human history where certain aspects of humanity were missing. I feel sure of this, can't think of a good example.

I can cite the Japanese, who had originally never used milk, and the peoples of the Indian subcontinent had never done any sewing, before alien cultures introduced it to them. I also know that certain sounds are missing in some languages, and some languages of primitive peoples are using the English alphabet to write words of their own language, which has always lacked its own alphabet.

All these are really physical examples, as I believe that this type of "SELECTIVE DYSLEXIA" is what they are hoping to produce in the whole population, creating a "GLOBAL WALLED GARDEN" supposedly for the happiness and equality of all human beings.

Creating a society where everything under the sun inside it is warm and inviting to the effeminate mind, means that people's ideas thoughts and natural impulses will be "pruned" to have only "appropriate material" remain.

This essentially means not just that certain events will never make it to the news, but more importantly, any thought forms that assert the principle that is being exterminated will be accordingly exterminated, and when people won't "give up" these thought-forms under pressure by thought police (psychiatrists) will be removed and exterminated.

It's like creating a walled garden. It's like climate control.

You live inside the garden, which limits your vision and availability of intellectual resources which has presumably been designed "FOR YOUR PROTECTION"

For example, if you think deeply enough you can see that proverbs like "Idle brain is devil's workshop" doesn't favour this kind of climate control WHICH IS ENFORCED to mask differences between the competent and incompetent who work as a team where everyone thinks they are "equals" (quote).

We see that terms like "silly and "ludicrous" have gone out of use that there may be proliferation of the effeminate. See, nobody swears.

Swearing puts the effeminate at a disadvantage as they cannot give back as they get. Actually all sorts of facts and ideas are “screened out”, and some people like myself, are targeted for extermination under this new wave of happiness. Climate Control is a way of altering statistics "WITH ERASERS AND WHITEOUT", as well as making changes in the truths about matters such as race and gender percentages that participate in something.

**CLIMATE CONTROL CAN BE CAREFULLY ADJUSTED TO ALTER THESE PERCENTAGE RATIOS AT ONE'S WILL TO THE LEVEL ONE DESIRES, SO THAT WE HAVE THE HAPPY SITUATION WHERE THE PERCENTAGE RATIOS THAT WE DESIRE WILL BE THE "TRUE" ONES WE "DISCOVER" WITH SURVEYOR HEAD COUNT AND SHOULD OUR GOALS CHANGE IN WHAT WE WOULD LIKE THE PERCENTAGE RATIOS MUST BE, WE WILL ALTER THE CONTROLLED CLIMATE TO BRING ABOUT THE PERCENTAGE RATIOS OF PARTICIPATION OF RACES AND SEXES IN SOMETHING TO THE (NEW) DESIRED LEVEL.**

**WITH THE "PRE-CLIMATE CONTROLLED POPULATION" DWINDLING WITH TIME, THE PHYSICIST OR OTHER "EXPERT" OF TODAY IS INCREASINGLY MOVING ON VIRGIN TERRITORY, LIKE THE EXPLORERS OF OLD DID ON LAND THAT HAD NEVER BEEN EXPLOITED, AND THERE ARE VIRTUALLY NO PEOPLE WHO READ HIM IN NEWSPAPERS OR OTHER MEDIA FOR THE UNLETTERED THAT ARE WILLING ABLE AND MOTIVATED TO CHALLENGE HIS STATEMENTS.**



Just stretch your imagination and think what would be your fate, you glee, if for example, you were a lawyer going to court, whose opponent client was in a comatose condition, and his lawyer was no more than one year of age, walking around in nappies. I say, "You are a lucky man. Your opposition are like chicken feed. You will be big and proud for many will be your successes. Your place in this world is at THE TOP> THE TOP>THE TOP." THE TOP> THE TOP>THE TOP." THE TOP> THE TOP>THE TOP."

So, watch out when a physicist or any other kind of "expert" uses terms like natural and artificial, when referring to life. You will find in the case of physicists that their motives in this matter are fairly transparent, "finding" artificial situations to be the ones which he would like to eradicate, and the ones he "finds" "natural" is cherished social goals.

Climate control may be a pseudo-science but it works by popularity vote and power of advertisement to show its glorious advantages.



Psychiatry is one of the control mechanisms that maintain the climate at the enjoyable (only to some please) level as it is. Psychiatry is enforced using hearsay evidence usually compiled at the lowest level of the hierarchy that constitutes the agency or establishment called the "mental health institution". The latter term in quotes can only be interpreted symbolically as it refers to the institution field or subject as a whole rather than to buildings without windows (humorous).

My man friend keeps on telling me that prostitutes are the founding fathers of the sales industry and were the first to introduce the extremely popular "Buy one get one free" scheme. Well, I have now reckoned likewise, that "the mental institution" is the founding father of all agencies and institutions and that they were the first to impose behavioural restrictions and penalties on those who disobeyed their recommendations.

How do you like this futuristic world described below, and do you think some of these elements are already present in your big wide world today?

HITLER THE MENTOR AND INTELLECTUAL HEAD OF THE MENTAL HEALTH ESTABLISHMENT. THE MENTAL HEALTH ESTABLISHMENT THE FOUNDING FATHER OF ALL POLICY -MAKERS AND OF ALL AGENCIES.

SEX A TOOL THAT WILL BE DEFINED BY LAW, AND CONTROLLED, ENFORCED. "WALLED GARDENS", "CLIMATE CONTROL" THAT ENSURES THE "EXACTLY CORRECT" AMBIENCE IN SOCIETY.

CLIMATE CONTROL IS BELIEVED TO INCREASEQUALITY OF ALL PEOPLES THAT IS ACHIEVED BY ERADITION OF CERTAIN THOUGHT-FORMS AND EVIDENCE. LEFT-HAND NEVER FINDS OUT WHAT THE RIGHT-HAND IS DOING SO BOTH HANDS CAN LIVE HAPPILY

NEVER WORRYING ABOUT THE DAMAGE DONE TO VICTIMS THAT NEEDED TO BE EXTERMINATED FOR REGULAR SYSTEM MAINTENANCE NEEDED FOR THIS CLIMATE CONTROL. PURGING WHAT IS PUT IN PRINT.

EXTERMINATION OF CERTAIN PARTS OF THE NORMAL HUMAN PERSONALITY. "PRUNING PEOPLE" WHO HAVE CERTAIN THOUGHTFORMS WHICH MIGHT BE SEEN AS HAVING xxxxxxxx

NEGATIVE EFFECT ON “CLIMATE CONTROL”. COERCIVE, DESTRUCTIVE AND UNPLEASANT METHODS OF “PRUNING PEOPLE” WHO ARE DEEMED AN ADVERSARY TO CLIMATE CONTROL AND WHO DON’T OPT FOR A “SURGICAL OR OTHER PERSONALITY CHANGE”AND THEIR REMOVAL FROM SOCIETY. SCIENCE AND RELIGION BOTH A LOW-GRADE CRAFT, OR A BADGE THAT IS WORN BY THE PRACTITIONER TO SERVE THE REAL MENTOR.

THEIR ONLY SCRUPLES ARE THAT OF THE MENTOR AND GIVING THEMSELVES AS PUPPET TO BE USED FOR THE INTERESTS OF THE MENTOR. LAW THAT IS REALLY MORE LIKE A MAGIC WAND. And more..

Would you like to live in a world like that? Believe it or not, I know many scientists who want a world like that and they are struggling for it.

Psychiatry as an agency has much in common with any other kind of agencies, and by the very mention of an agency, you should understand that it carries a logo, and to enforce the logo it attempts to exert on its service users the same type of climate control as discussed above. The goal of climate control is to remove, weed out all thought-forms and physical realities that appear to contradict its logo. In that sense an agency is by its very nature not a democracy.

Enforcement of policy that favours its own logo is the basis of an agency, climate control of each particular agency being established through "TEAM WORK".

Have you never had the experience in any office of asking to speak to some other type of person in the office (somebody higher on the IQ scale etc but you don't put it in those words) and being told, " I am sorry, but we all WORK AS A TEAM I am the one to help you."

What you need to understand about groups of people who work as a TEAM, in the general sense, and in the specific case of team work to put away sane individuals or those whose opinions are contrary to the interests of the community, is that what they tell you is FALSE.

For whereas they are all working as a TEAM, there is no way in which they would all be EQUAL for the purpose of consulting them on a matter(or for any other purpose), unless we shall argue that THEY ARE ALL EQUALLY BAD.

**A TEAM is as diverse as a zoo.** It has people on varied levels of intelligence, formal qualifications, legal status etc. As such, you are NOT getting the best service, when you are told that the office work as a team, and you cannot have what (who) you want, "because you are a pig and you shall eat whatever we put in front of you."

Why should people NOT work as a TEAM? Firstly, the people who are “at the bottom” are today (in a different sense), more at the bottom than ever before. They BASK IN FALSE GLORY, because they bask under THE “BADGE” OF THE TEAM, and so appear to be AN EQUAL of a PROPERLY QUALIFIED PERSON. They are also ascribed equal status by the agency of their belonging, and coercive, tyrannical and fraudulent measures are taken against anyone who challenges their DUBIOUS AND MURKY STATUS.

So much so that "the people at the bottom" TYPICALLY AND HABITUALLY FLY INTO A PSYCHOPATHIC RAGE when they are very gently made to recall those differences.

IT HAS TO BE JUST THEM, THEM AND THEM who serves you WHEN YOU APPROACH AN AGENCY THAT DOES “TEAM WORK”.

As a result of mental incapacity of the individual who is “at the bottom” to accept that there is anyone above them, they not only have psychopathic personalities but they are in a functional sense truly hangers-on, being heavily morally dependant on the system.

What is a psychopathic personality? I find it hard to fully explain, but I think it's like driving through a red light because you cannot understand about rules, or about consequences.



Or in this context she is EMPOWERED BY LAW and therefore “deemed righteous and fully protected” to act freely with total disregard as to the resultant punishment she inflicts upon others.

As such, suffering psychopathic rage when you ask to speak to somebody above them, is a symptom that she cannot face realities about anyone being above her, and will “go over the edge” if it is pointed out to her that she is uneducated.

What are the advantages or disadvantages of being served by an agency that does “team work” by the “person at the bottom” who enjoys “equal” and indistinguishable status with the “people at the top”?

The “people at the top” decide every step of behaviours enacted by “people at the bottom”, who come disguised as equals to the client, service-user or intended victim. As such “people at the top” usually possess formal educational qualifications or a totally unequal legal status, and obligations that arise from it that could restrict what they can do, and just get scot-free.

But if “people at the top” use a “person at the bottom” they can enforce undignified things on the intended victim (the client) that would be frowned upon if they did it directly.

“People at the bottom” who have uninhibited personalities, are well-suited for the task of ruffling up the dignity of others.

Their “equal status” then, is a “right” “won” for the recipient through the power of violence by their more intelligent superiors. The “people at the bottom” get their “tasty titbits” of “ego gratification” in return for this legal safe and ethical abuse of service-users or client that they do for the bosses (whose identity as bosses nobody is supposed to know or understand)

One thing about team work is that it is very luring, very romantic. A “small” person who becomes a “team member” in an agency basks in other people’s glory. Just because there are one or two “big” persons in the team, and everyone else in the team wears their badge.

They are just bursting with self-importance. What emotional cripple would give up that crutch? Very few, especially as they are being paid for it, and remember that if they give up that job, they have no other skills. Is life not extremely romantic for a cripple to appear as though they function athletically by being in a team of people differing physical capacities with the athletes at the top of the hierarchy? She does not really run the marathon, she gets a reputation, or at least enjoys a social standing like a marathon runner.

So the agency has a certain advantage over the client when he or she is served by a substitute for a properly qualified or formally authorized person.

If you believe that an agency is trying to act against you, I think that it would be in your best interests to speak to a properly qualified or authorized person, who is on a higher level of social responsibility and is more likely to face recrimination and blame if he mucks you around.

If on the other hand, you who are approaching an agency have got shameful, or dark secrets, that you would rather have pass unnoticed, if it is your intention is to pull a fast one, or simply “to slide it”, or if you are making a bogus claim, you are better off with those emotional cripples, or “the-equals-at-the-bottom” that need false flattery as a junkie needs his fix in order to live.

Choose the “people at the bottom” and this is what you get.

Everybody who is somebody, and everybody who is a nobody is as blind as a bat, and it does not matter how appalling you are, as long as you know the magic phrases that impress and produce yielding by them in a way that they never understood that they yielded.

An agency that serves customers can be a security risk to certain customers if these customers are subject to baiting and attack, but nobody will take action against the agency because it has many satisfied clients.

Complaints can be treated as if the complainant has already died, just because you failed to make a racial discrimination claim, or did not bring same race (same sex) companions to agree they also feel they get the same discrimination.

People can hurt others legally and safely be it sexual misconduct or other conduct that serves grief to the client but shall go on and on without end, if it happens that “customer services” enjoys “unlimited immunity” against claims of certain types of misconduct.

The agency that deals with me is invariably protected no matter how it treats me, under some type of racial discrimination claim. I am not protected. I will only get people who are unsuitable to discuss discrimination such as when they are always of the wrong sex or race.

But I am a pig and must eat whatever is put in front of me. If I say that I am being tortured, they feel not responsible. I cannot have anything in the world on account of my failure to produce same sex and same race companions to back up my claims of suffering. Here, “race” must be substituted with the word “class” here to include a broader definition, including sex, religion etc.

A security risk also comes into existence when the purpose of an agency that wants to interview a client is masked, or if the logo is a mask of what they really do, if they are not who they claim to be, if their formal training or belief system runs in the opposite directions to the logo of that agency.

Finally if the only person speaks to clients from any agency will be illiterate, such unwholesome truths about agencies as outlined above stay masked (supposedly) forever, or those who speak such unwholesome truths, will, (in a metaphoric sense, if not in the real one), receive capital punishment .



An example of an agency that is usually something other than its public logo reveals, is a women's group. All such groups might sport similar-sounding public logos, while some are exclusively intended for enrolling prostitutes.

An innocent or chaste woman who has fallen into misfortunes can end up being referred to a women's group, or to its website or given a number to call by an agency. If that woman is not prostitute, nor would ever be one, she can end up going to a group where all other members are prostitutes.

When a women's group it only caters to prostitutes, the fact of the matter is, that it probably enjoys a higher level of concealment than the obsolete Iron Curtain provided to conceal truths about certain perpetrators of homicide and other criminal activities.

What does happen is that that agency does not really inform the chaste woman that the group is really not for her kind of person. When this does not become apparent as time passes, (as often it does) what does happen is that she just does not do well in that agency. This poor performance on her part can go on forever, or the fact she cannot do well in that agency can stay as a black mark (assigned by the group) against her forever unless it is fortuitously revealed that those members who have real belonging in that group are prostitutes.



People avoid putting up boards with signs painted on them which are something like "Welcome to Prostitute Club", as that would attract sneer from the public and make life on the planet less comfortable for prostitutes.

We thus see that in the matter of enforcing the ways of Hitler, that people can be murdered, in the greater mode, with bullets and poison vials as Hitler liked to do, or, in the lesser mode to "get rid of people before they can say a word". This "getting rid of" is done in diverse ways, and psychiatry is one of them.

Psychiatry can very well "section" ( i. e., put them away in a mental institution where they will have no say as to what is done to their bodies") the sane, and also can section people purely by reason of their unpopularity. This practice keeps

people happy, because they start to believe "fairy tales" that would logically justify why people they hate, and people they envy, are "bad", "mad" or can be "righteously" cast out of society.

## Conclusion

Do you not see how easy it is for those emotional cripples (people at the bottom) of any agency that does "team work" to be led to believe that people they hate are mad and can be "helped" into sanity, the "changed person" who has been rendered "sane" through "scientific/medical treatment" being more likeable and more flattering to their inferior egos?

These are the people who depend on lies and “children’s fairy tales” a fix needed for their self-esteem, and for their virtual achievements that must never stop “growing” exponentially and which must go on and on without end.

If you understood as I have explained about agencies that do “team work” that they consist of people of so-called equal standing, but of a wide range of mental status, the other basic rule you need to know about agencies is that their staff enjoy unlimited protection.

Blame against a staff member of an agency can only be successfully brought against them if that staff member falls into the disfavour of that agency that he or she works for, by virtue that that conduct. If a staff member of an agency breaks the law while serving clients, they respond to the norm that people who break the law should be severely dealt with.

As such, laws broken during policy enforcement by an agency might be virtually impossible to prosecute, as they “work in a team” and have a whole team to justify their behaviour. While other popularly prosecuted crimes such as rape may win you much popularity when you make it about unpopular people and really that’s the only time when agencies treat people well.

To summarize, people who speak against trends that are so popular that there are fewer dissidents that we traditionally know existed in The Soviet are decommissioned in some way, removed from human society or prosecuted by psychiatry, a pseudo-scientific system that relies heavily on hearsay evidence to brand sane people. Sectioning of the entirely sane is difficult due to the law of the land but not impossible. One can abuse someone till they scream, then call witnesses to pronounce them insane.

As such, people like the emotional cripple who are “people at the bottom” enjoys a “psychopathically-high” level of comfort protection and happiness as they do not really need brains, they can just say to me when they did not like what I was asking for “You sound incoherent”. Call ten like-minded weak-minded eyewitnesses to the scene, and you have ten passionate hate reports of Mohini Hersom.

Now I understand that while only a small minority of the private privates do take up the flesh trade, that there is a general weakness in their understanding that makes the difference between speaking to a man, and s\*\*\*wing around with a man, so much so that like a colour-blind person might paint red grass and green roses, they might use the wrong option in a particular circumstance.

Everyone may believe that women who like to speak to men are as obsolete as the homo erectus, but some of them are only dying slowly from unaccountable torture. I certainly can't put away people for someone asking me to let them speak to a man but these "people at the bottom" who belong to an agency do such things, (crimes against humanity?) which are what their psychopathic "equality" is all about.

Then I have men sneering and mocking me for wanting to speak to a man, but unlike a maximum security prison, everyone is bewildered as to why.

The men seem to say, "How come you insane bitch want to speak with despicable people such as us?".

My answer to that question is that I don't really want to speak to despicable men, but those private privates, with physical mannerisms that mimic compassion that you use whenever you want to mete out diverse punishments upon me, and whom you allow unlimited rights to take over my life and body and exert total control, are NOT more attractive than you.

Telling men why I would rather not have women run my life is like telling a policeman who is about to have you killed by Rotweillers that the animals are NOT more attractive than he, furry and cute-looking as they might be.

There is no truth no logic and no respite from fraud torture or cruelty, there is no humanity there is no social life, only a craze to bring a false equality in a "Hilterian" scheme that will begin to kill of more and more people as there are more deaths at the hands of these Rotweillers.

They will commit murders without limit to conceal differences between people, and are protected by the weaknesses of the inferior ego.





## Part II

# Applying sociological models to The Institute of Physics Explained

This book being a review of the Institute of Physics, I have suggested sociological models to help understand its doctrines and policies. There is nothing in this book about Physics, because I was denied access to Physics by the Institute of Physics and told that the only commodity I could have were biological extensions of female genitalia.

Apparently this is legal, and as such people who would deal with complaints probably all have female genitalia, and probably most of these people have the same problem as "Women in Physics" which is that they possess personality attributes that are more common in women than in men and which are a handicap in being a physicist .

I must not be crude yet I have no choice. You cannot go to a panel of judges (metaphoric) where every judge has got no legs and is paralysed.

It would be in very bad taste to take to that panel of judges a case where the defendant is also physically handicapped and the plaintiff is exposing some wrong that the disabled committed. I doubt is being a woman is a disability; yet a mental disability comes from a rottenness that comes from within. I do believe that I am telling the truth about the Institute of Physics and don't care a damn about the legal punishments that will ensue for speaking the truth.

I am the kind of person who dies from living in a same sex environment and this is being enforced on me in Britain.

It is hardly reasonable of physicists (men) require some unnatural sexual participation from me because this is mandatory for a woman to do Physics. I would have never done Physics in my time if there had been a gun-toting male establishment that wants me to go to women all the time

. Science is not a gun-toting coercive sex enforcement. Science is the cool detached thinking about the physical world. It may be that while women in physics are not nuns, and not necessarily Lesbians, but they are comfortable with a lifestyle where they go to men only for the purposes of mating and breeding.

They need a same sex environment to reduce involuntary copulation which happens because Women in Physics have brains wired to respect man as master and are overwhelmed at the prospect of copulating

with a man that makes them incapable of properly consenting. The fact that she acquiesces to his wishes to have sex out of respect for his authority over her, become confused in her own mind and she feels "raped".

As such "rape" is a natural consequence man being her master as per the way her sex instinct is constructed, a woman does not suffer from it, but surrenders her overwhelming need to have intimacies with people to the man she sleeps with who becomes her master. She marries and becomes housewife.

Avril McDonald and others who pioneered women in Physics decided ( and wrote) sexual intercourse caused women to leave Physics and become housewife. Because sexual intercourse could not be eliminated altogether, she suggested the present diversity scheme, where "group-bathrooming" of women" an institution that is as old as prostitution ( or shall I say as old as the hills) is provided externally by the Physics establishment as the appropriate sexual support needed by women to stay in Physics.

When a sexual facility is provided externally, it is like an arranged marriage, or proverbially like being born with a silver spoon in the mouth, so that from the beginning, they will never have to worry about money. "Women in Physics" is a different type of women's group than what might happen in an office where both men and women work, and the women go off as a group consensually.

There is instead a pre-existing group, with pre-fabricated sexual content and sexual logos that are provided to women entering Physics, or this is offered to them as an inducement to make them take Physics.

I hate using such raw language, but it seems that any woman in Physics is a person who was originally in a traditional female role, or intending to take up one when she grew up depending on her age. Women in Physics are persons inducted through a sex and woman emancipation program. It seems that the very first automatic reaction of a woman in Physics when she is given a suggestion to do Physics is to place her hand on her crotch and giggle or say "But what am I going to do about this?"



Women in Physics are far more interested in having sex with Physicists than in studying Physics. They also need massive same sex support to help them with the profound sense of shame they feel about their genitalia, to do a course like that.

So they have others who have female genitalia, who keep on reassuring them that those genitalia don't need to worry them at all. They need woman mentors to point to their own genitalia, saying to those inducted women, "don't worry about your privates, can you see that I too have that type of privates, and I managed to study Physics, and you can too, with your female privates.

Her sex instinct recognizes man as master, and it is hard for her to use a man as a mentor. You only use as mentor those who are on your level.

Now depending on your personality, some people can use a prostitute when in need, while others cannot.

Scientists have compared me adversely to prostitutes, by saying that they have overwhelming sympathy for women who practise prostitution to overcome hunger. They keep me unemployed and hungry. I have been hungry and I have found other means of eating but I am like a person abandoned and left to die, and I have been legally punished which would not have happened had I taken up prostitution. I have been very beautiful. Men of The Institute of Physics insult and abuse me very badly and treat me as though I was beneath a prostitute



I mean I am being sexually abused to death.

I am saying that so many scientists are comparing me adversely to prostitutes when I am slowly suffering to death from having no employment no social life and no human comforts and have not taken up any hooker offers. My sufferings are seen as chickenfeed by the Science establishment and society in general as men only care about the "exploitation" and sexual harassment issues of women who were "forced" or a man screwed them in an inconsiderate way.

Men mock me time and again, most certainly physicists do, for complaining that my life is a torture being never able to speak to a man.

I am slowly dying from things women do to me currently in public transportation, as I have withdrawn from all other aspects of human life

. These are things a man would never do.

These are a torture to endure. These things are done not only by women in Physics but by various customer relations and by women in the London underground. I repeat these are things that are only done by women. But they are not done by all women, or by all women of any particular race. I'd say 95% of women who do these things to me are Asian and black.

I am seen as mentally ill because I possess no mental capacity to get anything out of people with female genitals.

Men feel that a person with inability to get things out of female genital owners and if I have an incapacity to

”

swim like a fish in the

# women's genital world.”

Sometimes immigrant women shout at me in a coarse uncultured voice and use items a man is incapable of, sounding like a human version of a sewer. The general male public in Britain believes that a woman especially if she is from overseas, can behave and act like a sewer but still be on a pedestal and enjoy a reputation like she is some kind of princess.

As such, sexual tastes mean lowly conduct of women in public places is seen with more acceptance than a musical fart, and is seen as fundamental nature.

You see when I suffer from the conduct of a woman, men threaten me a bit like a man who has pinched a woman's bottom is shamed. Men feel women's pathological urges and inferiority of uncontrollable conduct driven by sexual instincts they just act up without the woman realizing it are fundamental and found in all women pretty much like a woman has a period.

They feel women need to be protected from being told they are behaving in a very repulsive and undignified way, and such reality checks, or correction would lower her self-esteem and she won't achieve equality that men want her to have. I cannot say to a man "She is screaming like an animal and has no social qualities"

The situation is even more severe if the woman is an immigrant than a white whom I am to some extent allowed to criticise meaning I have more equal rights with whites. Men abuse me and abuse me if I just don't take it from women because these women are slated for "growth" with massive schemes underway to make the world a sexual paradise for them, whereby they can sexually exploit with moral immunity and end up in punitive roles where their punitive "talents" are nurtured by gun-toting men who ask her to go ahead jump on Mohini Hersom, be very confident, punish her as you like.

You don't look down on a woman if she has periods when a man does not. Any bad behaviour she has, which is not found in men enjoys unlimited moral immunity because by the new definition of gender equality women are made equal by “killing off” their victims. If we looked down on those behaviours she would not be “equal” so we treat it like a monthly period which a man cannot have, and say that there is nothing wrong with that bad behaviour. The victim takes the full brunt of women's bad behaviour, and maybe the only reason why women come out with 100/100 in the media is systematic extermination of their victims. Maybe data protection means the truth about comfort levels is very different from what we read in the media.

Naturally if they do something I will retaliate. But men want that can't retaliate, while she is free to express her spontaneous urges and instincts over me. They use threat of legal punishment and terror to achieve this goal. If you see any woman's photo in the papers as a big achiever she is of that kind. I will never have a social life and never have recognition of my intelligence. I don't belong with women and that in itself coupled with the fact I say it openly means this women system is going to make sure I have a life a bit worse than being dead.



They have unending “joy and achievements” by exterminating others under equality laws, and will “kill me off” with no man on my side and go off into their bloody women’s groups and race groups, team groups and be happy happy happy. That is what men the stronger sex want. The Living don’t have to think about who they murdered if the law (lady luck) is on their side.

Do you know all prearranged women’s groups to provide women with same sex sexual support such as “women in Physics” are “pimped” having a man to “beat up” anyone who does not give that pre-fab group unending praise, and in my case being a sort of sex slave to those “group women”

Men the stronger sex, use gun-toting methods to make that woman prevail over me and care about her “growth”, “equality” and personal happiness which they want her to achieve in my case at my expense. Such “lucky” (favoured) women tend to be white, but they are bloody immigrants with a personal quality which is found less in men and which I call personal stuntedness. Men want me to have a zero-emotional-comfort life while they are building massive schemes for immigrant women with mental stuntedness.

Likewise, lowly behaviours of women are seen as inevitable nature and there is nothing wrong with them.



“Men's thinking” is one-sided, and they see only the sufferings of a women when she has a reality check about her alternative behaviours when seen from a moral perspective about what hurts and destroys others.

They cannot see the sufferings of the victims of these women and don't care. Scientists are very guilty of this too.

People use psychology as a great science but all it is is the power of people's faith that psychology is a great science. Psychology says that people who have difficulty getting along with others have a mental illness. Asking me to see a psychologist because I wasn't comfortable in the woman system is a hot favourite of people. is a favourite one for people baiting me about my discomfort

The point is one thing I cannot have is respect from a man. If I wanted some, I would have to be like everybody-have the ability to take this ugly and unseemly behaviours from women as and when they feel the urge, and never speak about them to a man. The husband has been divorced, but one cannot have any kind of goodwill or friendship from a man because of sexual incapacity to put up with these behaviours of immigrant women thrown at me, which are therefore assaults.

Reasoning is only circular, and as soon as one says anything about race, even more than about sex, people get the fixed notion you are canvassing a political opinion. People are psychopathic and dyslexic in connecting moral issues with women's conduct and use psychology, law or some other cop out to escape the thought a person with female privates could commit sexual offences.

One does not think of the possibility that there could be behaviours women do and men do not, and that they could be offensive, even unbearable to some.

I am again loth to the idea of using raw language but must digress slightly to tell you about a TV program I watched recently about sexual deviancy, and promiscuity in London. I want you to understand that The Institute of Physics is situated in London town where apart from the good things it is a haven for tourists and prostitutes.

And The Institute of Physics has formally cast me out for lack of fulfilment of my sexual duties to women to refusing them sexual support which results in those women ending up making false accusations to win their sex case.

The program showed a woman who came on TV voluntarily, and described her sex life. She said that she had put up an advertisement on a website where you can go looking for all types of kinky sex. She advertised for men who would not speak to her at all. These total strangers were supposed to just "go down on me, and get out of here when I've come".

She got about 300 replies from prospective suitors. The woman who was black, said to the men as they tried to introduce themselves and ask her name etc to just shut up polish me off and get out and never see me again.

They were glad to do this for her. She said she would never ever see a man for repeat sex if he did not make her come in 7 minutes.

Avril McDonald and others have agreed in writing that the reason why women were unable to take physics was in fact between their legs, without saying so in such filthy words. She said that girls humanize boys and are intimidated by them. Considering how inhuman men are to me it is clear men are humanized by women or treat them with respect only if they are intimidated by them, or in other words are beneath a man.

Put in another way we see that the Negro woman here sleeps with a lot of different men but it is they who make her come. When there is no man she is completely sexually impotent and cannot come. Whether or not she is built like that, she most certainly feels that way towards men. If women are sexually "neuter gender" possessing inert genitalia and impotent when there is no man but when a man screws them, they can come, then the relationship of women to men is like that of the electrical bulb and electric current. Additionally these women are intimidated by men and do feel men are masters to them. Naturally they would have difficulty handling positions of authority and abuse it, which men feel is OK.

Anyhow only some people can use prostitutes who are comparable to the situation of providing women's groups for women to take up physics is similar to providing psychological counsellors for ready-made comfort solutions to new entrants. People who are not talented in deriving satisfaction from prostitutes, who don't readily T-R-U-S-T strangers, would also have difficulty I feel in deriving satisfaction from the other two categories of perhaps sexually orientated personalservices, even if they had the correct sexual orientation to be able to use them.



Women in Physics and women's groups cannot be used as support by women if their sexual orientation is mismatched. Of course women who want the support of women are of a particular sexual orientation, and it is not civilized to give me numbers to call of groups of female private part owners, in some cases they gave me telephone numbers of groups consisting entirely of Asian female private part owners.

Why women have are given moral immunity against censure for hurting people in some ways in that this is one of the correction factors that an inferior equal, just as high-heeled shoes make short people get a much taller stature. "group-bathrooming" is a natural social and sexual function in women which is basic to the human race, just as prostitution.

Women group up with women comrades, and when one of them wishes to urinate, she waits for the other women in the comrade group to come with her and whines to go to the bathroom until women comrades go with her. Once they are in the bathroom, social activity increases. The type of things women talk about in bathroom are intimate in a way that would be nauseating to a man if he were asked to do such things with other men. I have a theory that ties up "women's genital inferiority" with their "group-bathrooming" urges and patterns.



I think that their genitalia are connected to their brains differently than in men, and occupy a different room in the house of their consciousness.

We heterosexuals get funny feelings when we see two men holding hands or two women with their mouths completely joined in a park, like they are desperate to hit the sack, because that is not how we behave

But we have been given sex education that it is natural for gays to behave in that way. Likewise many of us would grimace at the idea of having bathroom fittings in the kitchen of our house, and of going to the toilet in the kitchen, and sleeping by the bathtub.

While it is natural for women to practise group bathrooming, men are keen to keep them on a pedestal of dignity so nobody looks down on them, and feel it is morally right humane and appropriate to torture me to keep that sublime level of dignity for a people who have none.

Forcing me to be with women is an unheard of sexual abuse that men are doing to me. They have protected these "group-bathroomer" through social climate control discussed earlier in the Sociological Model of the Private Privates.

Making the world a sexual paradise with gender equality for the “group-bathroomer” means they are protected like fragile items wrapped in cotton wool from knowing raw facts about their inferior and unappealing conduct or spontaneous prostitution. Massive sexual schemes like the diversity movement and Women in Physics mean they are creating a world where those women feel absolutely sublime about their inferiority to men. This means exterminating ruthlessly any women who don’t have these handicaps (or mental normalcy) as you may see it.

Men and learned societies want to create a world where female inferiority is totally eliminated by using the method where females can be as inferior as they want, and their offences will be treated sympathetically and anyone who claims to be suffering from their bombardment to use figures of speech “dies instantly”. They are moving mountains to make the world great for women, so they can have all the advantages of being a man without being anywhere near qualifying. So much so every contraption is being redesigned to have women on it taking into account, and making subtle readjustments for their cute and endearing mental handicaps. It’s like they are mowing down all the mountains in the world so that people who are in a wheelchair will have more and more and more and more of the earth accessible to them, and they are ruthlessly torturing and mowing down human lives such as my own because I did not offer my body mind and soul to that women’s growth movement.

Women's growth is exempted from normal beliefs about justice. It is their intended goal to keep on attacking and abusing others and to keep on being rewarded for their behaviour known as "growth".

I was shocked when I found out that by law I was supposed to ask in some instance to be supplied with people with female genitals for "help". I was also nauseated with what I think is seen as extremely attractive behaviour in the UK. I was fiddling with a camera in a train and I guess it's just a sign of the times that a woman is supposed to be so different from a man she is not even supposed to fiddle with a camera.

I think it might be far more appropriate for a woman to take off her clothes in public. I was fiddling with my small digital camera when this young Asian female seated across the aisle looked at this, and let out a small sharp coquettish giggle, or scream.

I suppose this is the same type of extremely feminine reaction as that of Women in Physics when they were first inducted through a woman & sex program, whose first spontaneous reaction when hearing Physics matters mentioned in connection with herself, grabbed her crotch and asked "But what shall I do about this?"

I suppose the young Asian's reaction to seeing my camera would have been better directed at me if I were a bloke driving a Cadillac that she could give her crotch to and then share the camera, and whatever else. I dislike being made to feel like a bloke just because I was not born with women's handicaps. I feel they are pressuring me to give my body or at least my soul to women to ravish as part of their overcoming their "barrier". I have lived in Western society most of my life and studied at The University of Manchester in 1983. I guess since 1983, Asians have gained greater racial acceptance, and at the same time they have deteriorated extremely in mental status. Besides, the whole idea of giving women protection against a reality check is revolting to me. I hope you understand that some actions that are not illegal can be seen as immoral or do harm to others, and that there is more to humans than their false exterior.

Remember because there is a data protection act it is possible everybody who complained about that sea of women being a maximum security jail has been left worse than dead, but that information may never leak out or may be so delayed in leaking out it the murders would be history. What the newspapers say always showing smiling faces may be an indication people who are not smiling are exterminated or forced to take tablets. When I grew up I used to get Communist propaganda magazines shoved in under my front door.



A seven year old is not likely to ponder about the fallacies of some political system which were later revealed after the fall of Communism. As a seven-year old they had bought me over with glossy and coloured photographs in those spam magazines. They had glossy and coloured photographs of fields, machines and buildings which were otherwise not available, or quite expensive.

I can tell you that those Communist propaganda magazines were not different from the sea of grinning faces and ultra-positive talk as is used in the matter of women in the media, and how everybody is thrilled to bits and they are growing and growing. Obviously things were not looking up in the Communist regime, where freedom of speech had been stopped and they were using brainwash as a means of making peoples embrace Communism.

People who objected were censored disappeared or sectioned under the mental health act, and detained by community care nurses in Siberia. And finally I guess people don't understand any longer what it is like to have feelings if those feelings are linked with thoughts and ideas. They think that you are some kind of actress. Remember women in Physics don't have ideas about lifeto such an extent that they need tutorials run by the diversity program to learn special techniques and methods of having sex and still exercise their brain in Physics.



All this male talk of "I will force you.. with women" is tyranny and some women are being helped at the expense of others. They try to show to me (the men) that women have gigantic statures. After applying several times to speak to someone other than Vishanti "every lucky man's rope to the world" and the ill-mannered diversity officer, an over pampered Moslem I had found out that the lady's tongue spit fire and she was only there to receive adulation and could sue you with lawyers if you did not want to be with women.

But the women's groups in Science deal exclusively with mating and breeding issues, which means they will not help you if you are a woman with issues that are really not covered items listed in women's programs. And those women's only issues are connected to mating and breeding, and part of their struggle to become equal means they become insanely jealous if a woman has extraneous issues (issues other than mating and breeding) and they make sure the men cast you out of everything.

As such I asked to speak to a physicist but it seems that I was asking for something that is not done at The Institute of Physics, so they directed me instead to some woman who handled the benevolent fund. They told me was an accountant and how senior she was at The Institute of Physics but when I asked where her office was, "she works from home!".

Doubtlessly that lady did not reply to my letters as I asked to speak to a man first rather than fund me. And you can't tell a lady like that that you would like to discuss your situation with a man any more than the mad lad in the famous movie "One flew out of the cuckoo's nest" was not going to get any requests granted by his keeper and jailer the mental nurse. I mean my Physics class had 6 girls and 34 boys at The University of Manchester, and at that time there were no sex groups, sex tutorials, and diversity programs nor did I know that women had private privates, a cute set of handicaps and needed to overcome barriers through a basically male gun-toting encouragement systems that destroy human life or anything else that offers the slightest embarrassment to those "growth women"

Women in Physics are not that bright. I am sure I would be better than the majority of them. When I did the Physics course at UMIST women who did the course did not do badly compared to how they do now, and there was no media, same sex concentration camp encouragement system, sex tutorials, talking about involuntary copulation and private privates. I have never heard of such things before.

. We did not do any worse in what the 21st century Women in Physics are doing, only a little better. I also think the opportunities and the motivation to seek them was better for women. You need a reality check if you think women are "growing", as I can tell you no woman then needed such cost and labour intensive "sex aids" and programs.

Such things I am convinced only prove a decline is in progress in mental status of women and the growth of the mental gap between the sexes.

If all this sex stuff is porn take it away from me. I don't want your sex trash, your diversity. My man friend tells me that Psychology is a branch of Biology. I argued the point but I guess that's his philosophy. He tells me that sexual deviants have won the right to break away from psychiatry totally. So there is nothing that is bizarre enough, if it is deemed to be a sex-related act, that is crazy. Frankly I don't care if they decided to section all the mental health professionals, and as far as the sexual deviants, I only ask for my right to refuse to participate and still have a right of passage I forgot to tell you male member emailing lists have been receiving spam which looks like solicitations from prostitutes and links to have sex with 12 year old females from Russia. Somebody hacked the Physics member email and sent stuff like an insider.

People are up in arms saying how women must work. They have been doing for years, so that statement in itself needs a reality check.

I think that there is no barrier for women to overcome, except for their own sexual misconduct. I don't think that can be used as "barrier" that can be "overcome"

"BARRIER"                      "BARRIER"                      "BARRIER"  
 "BARRIER"   "BARRIER"   "BARRIER"   "BARRIER"

I think that they have avoided the issue of their own sexual misconduct. Instead they want to overcome that misconduct by creating a mythical or fictional "barrier" which is used as a false scapegoat . If you have hurt and destroyed a bunch of people, and the fact has got shrouded in legend, but you still know what you did and still do it. Then the artificial scapegoat you create, is like a punching bag will take the blows that are due to you. I think the reason in Britain I am told nobody will like me if I ask to speak to a man, people treat you as if you are dead if you criticize a woman is only because they have done something terrible.

Men have removed the need for women to depend on men for money. They say that barrier has gone. So why is there a comeback? Why are there "intelligent" and beautiful college students who date men for "some extra cash"? Not only are diversity women "growing" by leaning on a scapegoat, and leaning on the psychological system, and leaning on the sex and diversity coaching offered by institutions like The Institute of Physics to bring women the cake. All this is to me a sign of something very strange and suspicious, and I can only say that they are a bunch of crazies running from natural justice, running from truth like you run inland from the tsunami.



Of course a person running from the tsunami is not going to worry about who they torture and abuse.

I think that as men like Ross of The Institute of Physics perform "EQUALITY MAINTENANCE" and they have to punish and threaten me even with deserving jail and demanding I do my sexual duties to Vishanti because I disputed that she was competent to give Physics career advice rather than courtesan career advice. That way Vishanti can keep being a useless career advisor and enjoy the benefits (reputation) of being a great career advisor. But in order to keep (maintain) her on that undeserved high level, I have to take the threats and punishment from men to keep her on that high level while my career is to take punishment. And I must do my sexual duties to Vishanti because everyone has sex with her.

This is an example of women getting a higher status than they justly merit by a process of depriving and punishing others. We can say they are depleting (eating out of) some people's lives to give women of their choice a status beyond what is a truthful description of them. We can call it sexual exploitation or a parasitic growth of women as great men, as great advisors. So then a parasite is dependent on the host even if the host is unwilling to be their provider.



So while women have been given the chance to earn their own bread, intellectualism in women has seen them going right back to part-time prostitution. While they don't need a husband any more to make money they are becoming hookers so often which must be the reason for the drive to remove stigmas attached to prostitution. And when there is a diversity policy which I think means a cat can have all the natural advantages of a dog so to speak.

So women are also depending on the diversity movement to achieve the full advantages of being men, of having mental strengths which they lack, by a gun-toting system that depends on other people suffering for their sins. By passing the buck, by passing the blame onto other people, women are given a one-sided encouragement to achieve and achieve, but there is no reality check under way about their victims. Did Hilter have moral immunity like this?

To what extent are the racial discrimination and sexual discrimination acts a process of making one group of people suffer for the sins of another group of people?

## threats and punishments

Finally I think I must mention an erstwhile European man called Adolph Hitler. I believe that murder is a sin. I think that the reason why they are struggling to overcome barriers is that they are really none; it is an extremely unethical and they go on the warpath hurting destroying people even killing them, and the "woman and diversity system" grows off them like a parasite which is what is a truth that must never leak out. That is what I think.

On the assumption that many people are being killed, in order to achieve this progress of some people by keeping others down using torture if they struggle, and assuming that my days too are numbered I want to speak on behalf of the dead, and would like to dig out the skeletons of those who have been murdered in order to give a psychedelic experience to these diverso-prosto-triglathons ( who are doubtlessly a direct cousin of the brontosaurus) with logical matters like Physics.

Social climatic control which prunes and systematically exterminates things and people who bring in thought-forms that contradict the diversity movement has resulted in diverso-prosto-triglathons rise to "power" and "equality" and additional control is needed for equality maintenance.

Now a man needs a certain amount of food to sustain him, but if one man needed what ten men can eat to sustain him, people would be baffled about the reasons why. Some large species of animals can eat ten times as much food as a man in weight to sustain them. But it is cost-intensive or labour-intensive to feed them. \*\*

Likewise, is a huge project to provide “women’s intimacy” to maintain “women in Physics” , who are like what the most recent version of psychologists theory about women. Women are supposed to naturally want to stare at faces rather than at machines.

And women who are not like that have a mental illness. Women in Physics cannot really do Physics because they don't have a mental illness ;they like going after people. So the diversity program gives women the intimacy that they would enjoy if they worked for example as a masseuse or nurse. All kinds of do-s and social events like labinalorry, SETNET Ambassadors of Science, which are really events for dating, or they are sex induction programs.

It is ridiculous to have women running around with leaflets saying people especially women must “come to physics”, and they carry plaques saying something like “I am a SETNET Ambassador and I have come to spread the word about Physics”.

There was the case of the Spanish girl Manera seated in the Barton Business Centre where I was also seated, talking of the greatness of coming to Physics trying to "convert" some (woman) over a mobile phone. What about a 9 feet by 9 feet sized video clipping of 1 minute duration that features the blow up of the animated face of Caitlin Watson on the right-hand-side wall as you enter the Institute of Physics, which plays again and again, millions of times. What connection could such massive projects have with Physics. They are just a sex and dating club and people who cannot be in that form of sex are not rendered any assistance with career, forced to speak only with "sextots" and removed from membership for whining they should speak to a man.

There is Liz Ainsbury whose photo appears on a newsletter with her arms saying that she is calling out to women out of passion for them to be in Physics.

She doesn't have any such passion I promise you. It would be very embarrassing for the Institute of Physics to have a man photographed with his hands flung outwards calling out to women. Liz has an ego that is subject to that of man. She acts out the desires of a man as though she were a man.

And what about that news cutting I lost from a decade ago, which features a photograph of two women saying " Here are the rewards of being a woman in Physics. All men praise them, all women follow them".



I guess physicists abuse women like me by using coercive control to make me be with those women or suffer horrendous insults in loneliness. I also think that being praised by all men and followed by all women is a massive overdose of sexual support and equality maintenance.

What about the “three-minutes pleasure do” organized specially for Marieke a female student in York. See Figure 7

None of this is relevant necessary or useful for the study of Physics but it is the subtle climate control that makes the physics arena for example closer in nature to the workplace of an office secretary where women can fulfil their intimacy needs by having lot of people all the time.

Women in Physics are temperamentally unsuited to Physics and can't cope with a rational and objective science environment and need intimacies with people all the time,. By using do-s like these, and massive projects to provide the intimate environment artificially to women to keep them in Physics and there is the equality maintenance process that accuses abuses and weeds out any people that try to expose that scheme or even have an opinion about it other than praise all the time.



I think that an erstwhile European man called Adolph Hilter was interested in racially motivated killing. I believe that killing is a sin and is a form of discrimination. You are not treating people whom you kill as your equal, you are treating them as though they are beneath you and have no rights for you will take them for your pursuit of happiness. I think that at least half of the world's murders are done with the motive of the crime being pursuit of happiness. I believe that it is equally sinful to kill a person of your own race as it is to kill a person of some other race, and irrespective of whether or not the murder was racially motivated, no matter what the law says. Hitler believed in killing people of other races

A sociological model is supposed to help understand how a society works. These I have suggested The Institute of Physics in a way that you will never get from their massive public relations program

**PART III**

**THERE'S GOT TO BE MORE  
THAN  
ONE VIEW. WHY CAN'T I  
HAVE  
MORE SUPPORT FROM  
PEOPLE FOR  
MY VIEWS?**

**M**y first acquaintance with The Institute of Physics was by answering an advertisement in Cambridge, where I lived with my husband, whom I have now divorced. I went to a five-star hotel to meet a tiny girl who represented The Institute of Physics.

Initially, I did not see much wrong with her, as she had excellent “bedside manners”. But a second interview revealed that she knew NOTHING, apart from those thrilling, exciting, pleasing, ingratiating, soothing and nurturing platitudes. She was however adept at

shorthand as I saw on numerous occasions, a very good secretary.

87

But the second time I saw her was at the Institute of Physics, after she had introduced me to Dr Adrian Huntley, one of the directors, who wouldn't talk clearly at all, after which he added that I should be speaking to Vishanti, and nobody else .

I guess that the physicists are rogues somewhat, and what they get from Vishanti by way of career advice is similar to the services offered in advertisements at the back of the Ealing Leader and other publications offering a two-minute quickie relief session.

In Vishanti's case, it's not "whip it out!" ; its career advice, but it is still similar in function to those quickie relief services provided by women on the phone, because of the kind of particular kind of soothing nurturing warm and encouraging behaviour, provided by a properly intellectually matured, prepubescent Polynesian grass dancer with suitable psychometric criteria, and a high coefficient of cooperativeness, somebody who is always ready to go for a ball game with the boys.

Now, personally, I don't care if Vishanti is a bike that everyone can ride, or if she is a vestal virgin. But I do care if Adrian Huntley "If Vishanti says that you are an idiot, then trust her." Few months after this, Ross told me that I did have unfulfilled sexual obligations to Vishanti, and would have to discharge these sexual

duties to her, by accepting willingly her feminine frankness or go to jail.

88

The Institute of Physics has a 6-storey building and a Barton Business Centre with computer terminals and printing facilities for members, and a coffee machine, a small library. It has myriad little conference rooms named after little-known women.

The place is cluttered with photographs of women. I had asked to talk to a physicist but Adrian had said that all I could have was that prepubescent Polynesian sex siren

Avril McDonald pioneered “Physics of Sex”. See <http://www.papertigers.me.uk/q910.pdf> for her articles scattered throughout that thick volume of news articles.

Kalmus told me, “We all love women. Secretaries are very intelligent. If one tells you that you are an idiot, she’s right.

There is another hush-hush program of the Institute of Physics called “Yes she can”. Their behaviours are not available to the public. I was quite shocked when a physicist asked me if it would not be wonderful if it were only possible for a woman to get a degree in Physics. I felt that this was an insult as I was a woman who has a degree in Physics.

But in order to make women come to Physics, they use logos which misrepresent the truth so that it is made to look like women have never done Physics. They also like to sound as though all women have certain personality difficulties working with men.

These misleading logos are meant to be honey in the ears of women in traditional roles, who are temperamentally suited to these roles, come to Physics. They make you feel like all women are psychologically handicapped.

The “Yes she can” project exploits women’s desire to bear children to make them come to Physics, because they will have a separate class for women where they will show X-rays of pregnant women to women.

Actually others are also trying this method to get women into the hard sciences. For example, I saw an advertisement in the South Ruislip library saying that women are so skilled at dealing with people, and IT is all about dealing with people.

It said “There’s a little Maths and technology at the end, but you don’t need to worry about that. Just concentrate on dealing with people.

The red book “Women in Physics” also virtually brings the bedroom into the workplace.



An example of "Women in Physics" is a photograph of two fat women in a newspaper clipping about them, which says, "All men praise them, all women follow them. If you do Physics, if you become a Woman in Physics, you too can enjoy these rewards."

I understand why men in Physics torture me with tyrannical pressure to be with women all the time. What with Institute of Physics men constantly reminding me of my sexual duties to Vishanti, and asking me to crawl behind a secretary when I want to drink, The Institute of Physics is really a SEXUAL

BONANZA.

The Institute of Physics men have proved to these diversity women that all women will want to follow them, as this provides these EFFEMINATE women with what they need to want to go to Physics.

Men win followers for diversity women, even if it means torturing unwilling women, so that DIVERSITY WOMEN can HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY to be leaders.

Other items in the charter of demands of diversity women are that they will follow people around and note their movements in great detail.

They want to monitor all social activity in the Science Department, and learn of any conversation that takes place between two people.

91

So that if any two people discuss any matter, Women in Physics will closely monitor it, and make sure that the decision is modified by the female perspective. They will make "women's suggestions" for the decisions to be changed according to women's ideas, and this change will be enforced by law. This is their goal. People must divulge all their activities and personal details to Women in Physics who will then "proceed to deal with those people and personal details whatever women want to see done to them will happen.

WOMEN'S HEADWORKS WILL BECOME ENFORCED POLICY AND LAW WHICH IS THE GLOBAL CLIMATIC SHIFT ASKED FOR BY DIVERSITY WOMEN . \*\*

Women in Physics want WOMEN'S INTIMACY NEEDS to be very comfortably provided by the Physics Department. They want "WOMEN'S INTIMACY" at work.

They ask for the domestic, warm, nurturing environment to be IMPORTED from the home to the Physics Department so that Women in Physics can be in their natural habitat.

Women must be provided with women, so women will be around all the time if needed in an emergency by Women in Physics, they want women for close confidences. Men must give up interests like football and take up more effeminate interests to talk about,

so that Women In Physics will be able to converse with them effectively.

92

All the above are printed recommendations for good practice to encourage women and ethnic minorities to be in Physics,

Then there is Miss Marieke Navin who gets an award "for being in the REGIONAL H-E-A-T-S that just last for 3 minutes". During those 3 minutes, she gives a talk on a scientific subject.\*\*See Figures 7,8

This is just like a beauty contest where equally glamorous contestants spend a few seconds each, preening themselves as they move across the catwalk.

Her award is similar to what happens in a beauty contest, where everybody being attractive, the decision of the judges as to who will be the winner is to a large extent arbitrary, what with physical beauty largely lying in the eyes of the beholder.

After all, who can get what said in 3 minutes if one is talking about a scientific subject, where solely based on those few sentences, one can determine scientific ability.

This contest "OF HEATS" is like beauty contests where is no logical way to determine who is the winner. The decision of judges as to who should get the prize would be far less arbitrary, if the award was just based on scientific achievements.

Now it might very well be that THE WINNER WAS PRESELECTED, so that all other contestants who took

part, excepting the pre-selected person were made to go through the USELESS procedure of applying and preparing for the silly contest in good faith that they stood a chance.

93

The whole exercise seemed to be dominated by the need to focus a video camera on Marieke and putting her on a newsletter.

For all Marieke says in the 3 minutes of HEATS is something like, "I am a pop idol, and I am very passionate about SCIENCE COMMUNICATION."

Now in case you did not know this, THERE EXISTS NO SUBJECT CALLED SCIENCE COMMUNICATION, just as there are NO DRIVER'S BREAKS installed in cars.

Everyone who drives a car is a driver, and needs to use the breaks.

Introduction of terms like SCIENCE COMMUNICATION is similar to STORY SUMS that we did when we were infants. Having been born illiterate and innumerate, we learnt in play school and used stories called STORY SUMS to learn how to add.

So then, if you possess scientific thinking, you will be able to put these thoughts into words, as scientific research is all about being able to think. If you cannot



use language in a scientific way, it means that you don't have scientific ability.

94

Special skill to communicate your ideas is called for only when you are called upon to communicate them to persons who are mentally disabled or dyslexic in some sense, rather than uneducated.

But here we have adults with developmental backwardness in the sense that they are incompetent in scientific thinking. They are being made to live in an unreal world, a walled garden, where they will get praise all the time and never become cognizant of their own mental deficits.

They are made to live with strange terminologies like STORY SUMS and peculiar alterations that nobody is supposed to find out, have been coined to cater to their slow-wittedness.

Things are made very "safe" the whole world made to appear like chickenfeed in propaganda to cater to the cowardly that they might enjoy the same "equal rewards" as the lion-hearted,

They are additionally humoured with sexual rewards because it is not a case of coaxing infants, adults might like sexual bonanzas offered on a plate as incentive to get into things not meant for them.

The rewards Women in Physics get also pander to the negative side of human nature like the insubordinate ego, and the rising of self-esteem that they get by



stepping on people, especially as they are abundantly rewarded for doing this by men in Physics, their "masters".

95

When Avril McDonald and two other Women in Physics asked for a global climatic shift, \*\* they were asking precisely for this type of "walled garden", with suppression of certain truths and facts, and for everything to cater to people with a certain type of intellectual and moral disadvantage to have a field day.

They are sexually abusing and torturing me, but I think, to use a of speech, everybody here feels with regard to women that all the mountains should be mowed down, people who are in the way can be murdered so that physically handicapped people will meet with no challenges navigating the world, they will never feel like they lack anything.

THE INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS AND OTHER DIVERSITY PEOPLE HAVE DEEMED THAT WOMEN WITHOUT THESE HANDICAPS WILL BE MURDERED BY SUBTLE AND DEVIOUS MEANS AND EXTERMINATED BEFORE THERE CAN BE ANY CRIMINAL EVIDENCE.

All survivors perpetrators of the **"DIVERSITY CARNAGE"** will be "PROTECTED" by "LAW"??

They can be safe, protected by law, ecstatically happy and sexually fulfilled, they don't need to worry

about their torture or murder victims, they can lie for each other and win in any court; they will be backed up as having acted righteously by another kind of criminally insane "scientist" known as "doctor".

96

Tell me, how can a woman who has travelled all over the world doing teaching and research in Physics tremble with fear and say, "I have no self-confidence to speak" when she is called upon at this contest where she is expected to give her "HEAT PERFORMANCE"

Come on. If you can't take the stage to say two simple lines to an audience, is that not inconsistent with being a dynamic globe-trotter who teaches Physics and does research in numerous countries?

Well I am a woman too, and I have travelled all over the world, and I know it for a fact that nobody with achievements like Marieke Navin will say that they are trembling with fright, and lack on self-confidence, when asked to speak a couple of sentences to an (obviously extremely supportive) audience.

Marieke's personality profile is presented with a lot of sexual innuendoes in the newsletters. Male physicists do not get published in that way, as that would be an insult to their feelings of shame.

From the looks of it, it is evident that this type of "sexist" portrayal of females which obviously no female in that establishment protests (unless each one that did protest was expelled from The Institute of Physics,

and we did not come to know about each other owing to the Data Protection Act.

It turns out that there are individuals who are greatly helped by being represented in a very sexist way.

97

also expresses other incongruous emotions in the newsletters that praise her exploits. For example, she says, when she was taken to Japan, "I feel thrilled to work on a reactor. Now I am bursting with pride that I have become so big and famous and now am being regarded as an expert."

I cannot make you understand this point if you don't. But I doubt if the fact that she is a woman would change the fact that these emotions are completely incongruous for somebody in her role.

I guess that I have worked as a research assistant and a teaching assistant at an American university and at a Canadian university, and don't have any special emotional parameters that male physicists don't have.

I think that Marieke is saying "Ooh aah, I am becoming so big, ooh, aah that people want to make phone calls to me for my experience.

I repeat, this has nothing to do with the fact that she is a woman. It is because, obviously, the individual has no initiative, and The Institute of Physics are goading her into each "project", holding her hand at every step, asking her to "jump", if I may use a figure of speech, (or take the plunge) while she is afraid to do so.

And when she's jumped, and "LIFTED OVER THE BARRIER" by strong and supportive hands; they

photograph her and boost her CV or personality profile with these "achievements".

If you understand what I mean, she is "CARRIED AT EVERY STEP" by loads of Institute of Physics men with extensive media coverage.

98

"Women in Physics" then, is a project WHERE ALL OPPORTUNITIES ARE RESERVED FOR women who have excessive difficulties working with men.

You see, what normally happens is, when they get attracted to a man, they have a somewhat "less mature" status which TENDENCY would obviously make them be in a lesser position in terms of career.

And I think that we all know that diseases that cannot be cured, are treated, or "treated", and this is done either by a doctor, or by a "doctor". And so, difficulty in standing up to a man which is a personality problem that exists in all "Women in Physics" is "treated" under the recommendation of DIVERSITY PIONEERS by putting the women in question in women's groups, where each group member has the same problem, and they have a common set of issues which they find mentally challenging, or morally challenging. Being a group member of people with the same weaknesses, she is able to fulfil a man's role by the group action of many weak-minded people, like twigs that can be bundled together to act like a log.

What normally happens when she is not given a "women's support group" is that invariably the man acts for her. Thanks to women's groups women perform actions which they would be mentally (morally) incapable of if each of them were alone. As such, being



in a women's group hides her mating functions. It is not that she does not admit to mating, or that people don't know it happens. It is just masked.

99

The impression women's groups give is that of womanly authority and a joint viewpoint that others must respect and look up to.

Institutionalized women's groups make an impression on people as though these women have a lot of individuality.

As such they only serve each other as a sexual convenience in a feeling-less coarsely-spoken mutual back rub that is generally devoid of scruples, which is the sexual pathology of women who are "beneath men".

What the woman feels in the workplace where she is pretending to perform a man's role is a profound sense of shame about her mating functions, because if nobody else knows that she takes on an inferior position to the man she mates with, she does. By wearing clothes you conceal your body but everyone knows the raw facts of what you have underneath.

With women's groups, it is not raw facts that are being concealed, but what she does is to give a misimpression of the woman's personality and character-she is far less capable in some sense compared to men, which masked by membership in institutionalized and maybe publicly funded over-glorified women's groups.



The masking of functional inferiority of a woman which comes from being an institutionalized women's group member is two fold. Firstly she gets a similar level of protection as when she is beneath a man and he acts for her, not needing her to worry about serious matters, and at the same time it looks like women are acting for themselves.

100

Secondly it masks her shame of mating where she is mentally disadvantaged to a man. Masking of shame which results in making a person more capable means the person will suffer if the fact that causes shame is exposed.

It is a morality problem women have, to act big and powerful to lie to abuse to misbehave, and end up with millions of certificates of features like kindness which they really lack.

As such "Women in Physics" is not the kind of group that is formed naturally when people work together, and say, some women go out as a group for a drink. The group is "given" to "Women in Physics" right at the start, and carries logos that speak of features the women really don't have, so that all may bask in the glory of the "group" that was created by an external agency, using the ideas of the external agency as their own, ending up as though they are big people.

The group is strongly sexual in nature, as the same sex people serve each other as a mutual sexual convenience, but also the men, who are their sponsors and benefactors, are to them like jockeys to a horse, abuse and torture any women who does not have sexual compatibility with those women.

101

#For example men who are supposedly (low grade) scientists who are of the same racial background as Vishanti called Hitesh wants me to take psychiatric treatment (from himself)to start believing that women's "group-bathrooming" and same sex closeness function makes them equals to men and to change my brain to take on these sexual functions that I might start experiencing "normal urges that women feel for women".

Hitesh an electrical engineer who says he has a PhD in homoeopathy says I should take counselling if I am unhappy with my life. I tell him that counselling consists only of repeating stupid phrases and slogans and the counsellor becomes phased out if I don't acquiesce to his or her wishes.

At this point Hitesh agreed or said that counselling only consists of getting paid to say pleasing things to people even if they are not true. He added counselling would help because I am a woman, and women have poorer ability than men to deal with moral issues like hurt and abuse.

102

Like many have done before he pronounced doom upon me if I did not forgive and let go".

He said my feeble womanly mind would make me suffer unless I "let go" and become a placid average woman.

I told him I had nothing mentally in common with women, and told him I had been pestered at the Institute of Physics to acquire women's group bathroom-in functions. He then said he could give long-drawn psychiatric treatment for me to respect that behaviour as equal to a man, and I had a head problem and mental illness if I did not possess women's "same-sex urges" and women's "group bathrooming" functions.

I was angry as he spoke and so he said I needed psychiatric treatment for being argumentative. I guess there are no women in England who are argumentative, and in this way, they are different from men, and if they are argumentative, they go to jail or lunatic asylums, and in case of foreign women who are argumentative, you can all hand them over to be the care and custody of black women who will stop staring now that they can enjoy my half-dead body. That is what men want to see done to me under the new sexual paradise and diversity policy.

103

I am baited and lynched by male scientists like the Nazis did to the Jews as they don't have and don't want women who are not beneath men to be in Physics or even to be in this world. I wish that you will all understand that I am not half as vengeful as any of you or your women's groups.

Is it really true that I don't have a right to have feelings and is it really true that the kind of woman whose well-being you all want so desperately is less vengeful. Why is it I cannot speak when women are allowed to do anything they like to me when no man will respect me if I so much as flinch my face when a woman does something to me that is enjoyable only between women's women and it goes outside my biological limits. I am supposed to take it from them and be alone as men will lynch and bait me if I complain.

Staring was once seen as bad manners but after women have achieved "complete equality" (complete moral



immunity for all misconduct including sexual misconduct that is not generally committed by men) I have heard of nobody but myself who seems to feel uncomfortable with that bloodhound-type of staring by some types of women.

Also on the total immunity list is showing an intense "sociopathic" interest in my body actions and contents of any bag I might accidentally unzip it when she is nearby, pursuit of people and personal details, trying all kinds of sexual stunts.

104

I get this is often from one particular kind of women. Thanks to the "equal" status, neither men nor the law feel that there is anything objectionable about the behaviour and they don't care how it makes you feel.

They think that my suffering is my problem and that it is somehow my responsibility to take law into my own hands and sort out the harassment problem from that sexual sociopathic scum bag myself.

You see after "group-bathrooming" in women acquired "equal status" with men, some types of women have proved themselves to be "intimacy athletes" and because of the attitude of men and the law towards me these women DON'T need my consent when they want to do anything to me that is unbearable and unbecoming.

Thanks to the equality of "group-bathrooming" it is done outside the bathroom "by force"



If you say that women whose well-being you are so desperate to have don't enjoy inflicting punishment on others. What happened when a woman was "shagged" near my home?

She has women of women's groups to support her cause and puts up her name and photo in neighbouring stores hoping (as a group) that the man who "shagged" her will go to jail.

I am being baited and lynched.

There seem to be no women without this mental handicap here, which is boosted by diversity pioneers.

105

I can't see why they don't protest this conduct, such as the sexual paradise at The Institute of Physics if they really were not handicapped. Is everybody thrilled with this excepting me.

I am being tortured to death to develop some repulsive sexual function that Women in Physics have, which is natural to them, and which needs special effeminate arrangements to be made for them to make them be in Physics.

Generally I am being baited and lynched by men for the happiness of women. I can't find anyone here who has shown any conscience when it comes to women. It seems they have "become equal" through men giving them moral immunity when they treat me in any manner they wish. .

Don't you see that you might be a straight male who supports gay rights. How would you feel then, if you

were to live in a world where you could only speak to men, and you had to be all alone and no woman will treat you with any respect, they will ask you to go to men's groups for all things.

Imagine living in a world where all women will mock you, grade you poorly as a man, as well as about your professional ability and mental status because you lack the sexual pathology that seems to be true of every other man, which is that they hold hands with men even though many of them are heterosexuals.

You are baited treated like filth like Nazis treated Jews all because you lacked the social and sex function of men that hold hands with men in men's groups.

106

Would your support of gay rights and your sympathy to men who used to be baited for holding hands with men weaken?

Why can't you reason and understand that I am being tortured to acquire women's group sex functions in order to mask their defects. How can I fall back in admiration of women when men threaten me that these are the days of women's equality and they are growing into Physics and all male-dominated professions in groups that help each other with "women's difficulties."

Why if I do not have this women's illness, and so have in then first instance no interest in seeing them grow into "equals" nor do I mind or care if they are in a profession.

But I am being told people who are supposed to the same sex as me have all sorts of difficulties which I don't have, and they have an inability to function is there is no institutionalized group.

But it feels awful like a man would feel if he was told (with everybody in absolute Khomeini-type agreement) about the glory of meshes groups clusters and clumps of "hand holding and growing men" that help each other out . What would you as a man say? Would you not get "pissed off" and say that they sound like a bunch of morons, and that "THE GROWTH 'N GROUP SCHEME" is simply not for you.

107

Well that is why they want me to take psychiatric treatment, for not having "THE GROWTH 'N GROUP" sex function because all women have it and no man has it!!!

I cannot function normally without the right to make choices.

It is like I am being treated like a prostitute, meaning, I have zero choice as to who I do what with.

Their argument is that they are NOT asking me to have sex with those people, only discuss all my human affairs with them. Surely I am not equal in mental status to your women, because I will not have sex with people you order me to, which seems to be not the case with them.

I am feeling like people and the law are saying to me "You are a pig and whatever we put in front of you, you

shall eat." This is the modus operandi of any agency, as they always put inappropriate choices of people to deal with my affairs. . The name of this coercive practise is DIVERSITY. Men hate me here because they want to achieve perfect equality for women by sexually abusing women who don't have these mental handicaps.

They have such a lot of compassion for the likeness of prostitutes that they feel that I can be USED AS SEXUALFOOD FOR PROSTITUTES TO CONSUME SO THAT THEY WILL GROW IN SELF-ESTEEM—

108

THEY HAVE HURLED THEMSELVES UPON ME UNDER THE ENCOURAGEMENT OF A MAN AND THIS (SEXUAL) OFFENCE UPHELD BY MEN AND THE LAW, AND THAT CURES THE PROSTITUTE OF HER SEXUAL FRAILITIES. THIS WAY MEN AND MALE SCIENTISTS ARE MAKING WOMEN EQUAL TOMEN AND CREATING A SEXUAL PARADISE.

These days, sexual depravity is seen as something very normal and attractive, people with these features receive extreme enjoyment and protection from being criticised under the diversity act.

Agencies use diversity to create small heavily protected pockets of "concentrated paradise", the paradises are sexual in nature, and cater solely to those who have never ever discriminated in their lives so this new law (or religion?) called diversity might not



constitute a radical and unacceptable change in lifestyle.

And who are the people who have never ever discriminated between people in their lives in dealing with personal matters?

I say that I think that there is some saying which sounds like, "You are a different kind of prostitute"(quote).

Prostitutes are weak, and are herded around by pimps.

When male scientists "force" me to go to women, and treat me with contempt for refusing to join women's groups, or use women for personal advice he is putting pressure on me by subjecting me to degrading and inhuman treatment for disobedience.

109

He is doing this for the aggrandizement of the women in his little world the agency.

It may be that the group of women for whom he is doing acts of tyranny to win them admirers and followers has one woman he sleeps with no matter how stupid she may be.

Maybe he sleeps with all the women of a women's group to whom he is diverting women.

As he is using male brutality to force me into degrading roles to make those female scientists equal to men, can I say to the male scientist "YOU ARE A DIFFERENT KIND OF PIMP".

Anyway, I think "women's law" has been constructed to cater mostly to the prostitute. Because no matter how



much they abuse and torture me, they stand out lily-white under the law.

The "legal argument" is that they are faultless to use torture to force me with women, because it is not torture.

To get freedom from torture under the new anti discrimination and diversity act, one needs to find oneself other people, and preferably people who are of the same sex and same race as yourself, who would also feel tortured if they are treated the way I am. Unfortunately if for any reason some bizarre social and public sex practise turns out to be a severe torture to you, but you are unable for any reason to find yourself a same sex same race group who share your feelings, you are entitled under law only to suffer without end and die.

110

This is my plight in case you did not catch on.

The legal "winning" argument they use to keep on sexually abusing me and keep on coming out on top is that these men (for example Kalmus, Ross and others ) did not force me to have sex with diversity groups of women; they only "forced" me to "take them on as leader master and mentor", a order that would have got me protesting when I was as young as eight years of age. The only thing that hurts women here is how men have sex with them.

Feeling hurt by insults is simply not included in women's law, because ultimately a woman here has no issues beyond genitals. And to correct that defect

there are millions of projects to punish men rapists, to do all kinds of sexual manipulative things to society to make this type of person enjoy the advantages of being a man while being a zero in measuring up.

Because I can have only women on all my affairs, nobody treats me like a human being as any matters I have in my life are annoying to women, who are not bothered by such things as I would lose sleep on like some ,men would.

Women's ability to take offence revolves more around their genitals, while men take offence about matters of the conscience reason and spirit.. Because my issues are like a man, women give me zero marks all the time, as they would be placid and in those situations.

111

I know you are all going to execute me, "legally safely and ethically" but I am NOT an equal to the "prosties" and the only kind of women that seem to count here, and so I am NOT afraid of DYING.

You are committing a sex crime against me in your act of homicide of myself-Mohini Hersom, who is NOT willing to die, who is NOT willing to have my body used and abused to have your diversity women hurl themselves on me as a means of gaining self-confidence.

If you were even 1% in the right to treat me the way you do, you would execute me publicly, rather than in a devious and underhand way. I cannot stop you from

destroying me and writing lies that flatter women upon my tombstone, but surely if there is any meaning in this world, female inferiority shall multiply outside your control. The grave that you dug for me even I do lie in it, shall be set up for women who have difficulty standing up to men.

If there was a punishment that was justly to happen to Adolph Hitler in the flesh this is what will be the dessert of your "women in Physics" and they shall not be happy just because I die at your hands with your murder being upheld by the law.

112

How is it you exterminate certain truths, and they stay secret forever? I shall die if needed so I don't need female company forced on me by pimping scientists.

I am not afraid of the law, because I have got tired of being alone with women and death and goodbye are only welcome, but if there is some God or other justice, your women will get the pain they deserve, they CANNOT use my body and life for their pleasures, to "overcome barriers" and become equals to men, become big scientists.

I am getting ready to die, and wish there is a God, justice or retribution to rape your women in a big way that they shall never recover.

Tell me if it is not truly terrible that in western society there are no lawyers no courts that will give me relief from torture unless of course some person, who is genuinely equipped with female genitals, decides to come to my defence?

It is not because I am very ugly that all men will spurn me, millions of women run after with "kindnesses". It is something to do with this "equal". It is not that I hate women for being kind, but by and large this kindness" is also a type of sexual behaviour rather than real kindness, and as such I have no disagreement with anyone as to the abundant availability of women to give me all things.

I don't care if they are good or bad, I simply do not intend to enter any place where women are always coming after me, the men quiet, or forcing me more with them. I shall not work or live in such a place.

113

I dread entering such a place even more than I dread entering a place where men will run up and feel up a woman with no legal control imposed by society on offenders.

This type of sexual torture by male scientists and others "forcing me with women" means that is also another kind of sexual perverts den. I have NEVER in my life desired to have WOMEN'S OPPORTUNITIES. I have NEVER been BIG in desiring things that belong to other people.

I have no choice but to die, but if there is some justice the truth shall be shouted on the treetops to stink the place up



Do you not see that a law that stops you from walking will not hurt somebody who has never had legs? Rather, the law will BOOST their self-esteem

# The end

114

## Part 4

# Sex enforcement diversity religion

You see, I have been subjected so long to sociopathic tyranny and torture by men to help women who are "women's women", who are people who have difficulty standing up to men.

Quite often, I find myself coupled to a female advisor, when I'd politely requested a man. The man in question wanted her "on me" whether I like it or not, and even if I do not find her advice suitable.

115

But both the man, and the woman whom the man desires to force upon me, the woman being in some functional sense beneath the man, know fully well that I do not want her thrust upon me. Nor am I interested in the type of advice she gives which is a roll of brambles and all kinds of miseries and restrictions, and always leads me along a path that is contrary to my natural choices.

It is unmanly to force oneself upon another against their consent, but this feminine practice is seen as commendable behaviour by men who give them awards for being just plain hideous from within. Men see women's alternative punitive method as equal but different to the original non-punitive method.

It is not those men after all who take the punishments or feel the pain. System set up has been achieved so that only people who are not wanted will be the ones

to take the brunt of  
her punitive  
pleasures

Both the man and the woman know that the woman is to me what a parasite is to a host.

Both the man and the woman derive pleasure from this infliction of pain on a person.

116

As such, the type of advice given, and the quality is different for a man and a woman.

What normally happens is the woman does not get as many takers, but the road to her equality to a man is to have a man "standing behind her" to abuse and insult customers who are not satisfied with the woman's service, even if the type of advice she gives is genuinely not useful, and is not the same as that given by a man. She has a man to sexually abuse customers to death with indignities and insults if they don't want

to have her, or when there is a massive project to have her and she will be on your face all the time.

Sexual fetish is more important than quality and the system exterminates and removes from within itself all members of society who would not want women's services.

People with an overwhelming desire to become big do so at the expense of moral integrity and they are there for the ego and don't have any other than secretarial qualifications to become a great Physics career at the tender age of 14\*. Of course for those slated for glory there is an army of men to build their glory and they are goaded into getting the scientific qualifications. But they became a great advisor before they got any qualifications.

Whole systems of advisors tend to be female where no qualification is needed and they do not have males because the women can't withstand male competition.

117

Sometimes males are trained in programs such as "The Physics of Sex" pioneered by Avril McDonald is one huge program that among other things teaches males in the system special and somewhat poor behaviours and a set of behaviours that are on the whole improper and negative that make it look like there is no difference between men and women in quality of service.

I have even come across white males who lapse into learnt and cultivated hotch-potch Chinese accent when they say something rather stupid repeating it like a mule, someone you can't reason with. He was trying to prove his equality (gender equality) to some silly-sounding China woman. Each time he wanted to be



difficult he acted with Chinese accept and used the "women's obstructive style"

I think anyone who has been on-line had dealt with those silly operators.

The reason why they don't get told off for such poor service is that many who use these services are too slow-witted to know the difference between Chinese and white accents, or be able to identify "the women's obstructive style". Others are too madly in love with diversity to dislike the lack of service which they feel is paled by the new advantages given to woman and minorities-

118

Diversity is a type of sexual love of an abstract kind like the love of God -diversity is some kind of sexual love and bizarre religion that I think substitutes the human social order and conscience All they can ever talk about is the law, for otherwise the workplace I s a like moving around in a morgue and the only human activity is when there are sexual groups, which depending on the group can be public.

Anyway diversity men will not speak to women other than for sexual purposes, or to act as (a different kind of) pimp (strong arm man) to make women into great people. The kind that is behind the female advisors and will beat customers to a pulp if they won't have her.

Men look more than unfriendly; they have a murderous demeanour. Women are "scientifically proven" by diversity religion to be extremely kind people. Their kindness relieves the death-like character of the morgue because women come running towards you, and depending on their racial background might decide to stare at you so intently than they could easily shame an Alsatian, even if you are not from the opposite sex.

119

Diversity people have a sexual love towards the diversity movement, with men in that religion having sexual fantasies of becoming male prostitutes, talking about their cherished futuristic dream where there are equal numbers of male and female prostitutes in the world, of how prostitutes can rise to powerful positions, of giggly secrets where everyone keeps their race religion and sex a secret, so that one gets sprung these surprises on a daily basis where one secret at a time unfolds, leading to more romantic fantasy.

Telling which their race they belong to becomes a form of giggly flirting for diversity people. To them it is like taking one's clothes off and getting coquettish.

Of course diversity people are loth to disclosing their marital status, and screw up their noses and begin to ostracise you subtly at you if you do. Women are ostracised if they overstep "the women's harem" and use men's ways of speaking.

Luckily, diversity women are women's women, and such women are well-endowed with women's difficulties and do not miss anything in the diversity system just like laws that ban members of a particular social group from walking do not affect them adversely if not even one member of that particular social group has any legs. Diversity people are die-hard diversity romantics and could be anything from a giant-sized prostitute to an over-aged infant to a brain-damaged intellectual to somebody whose marital status is SWMAS (somebody who masturbates a snake) .

120

Anyhow life is a law jungle where every spoken word has some legal implication, and life is by unanimous agreement a landmine. Advisory systems made up of females only, including Physics career advice is (if you look at it very carefully) of the same level of difficulty as that which can be handled by a 13-year-old child.

Some advisory systems are actually a device for people who can't speak English and otherwise for those with mental health and similar problems

The interesting thing is that these systems and groups get their 100% marks for absolute greatness by exterminating anybody who is not happy with that behaviour.

Murder those who don't like it, legally safely and ethically. What could be more attractive than to deport foreigners who don't like it.

There are no feelings. The law is the only feeling. One is a sex slave to sex policy and to the women who jump on members of the same sex in the guise of having expertise in handling people.

Ever been raped? This is a damn lot worse!

Is it not a criminal offence to want to "have" somebody who does not want you! By that token diversity women are criminally insane!!!!

121

As such advice is generally given to me accompanied by the infliction of pain is way beneath my educational level. As such forcing someone to take on a woman as an employee when they don't want her is not the same thing as asking me to host them on my person and on my being. Just because she flings herself on me does not mean there is any other type of offence involved than personal assault.



Now for a crime there must be a motive. If you force someone to give someone a job, the motive is money etc.

Speaking some silly lines to me which later were proved by and large useless

Diversity religion also has something to do with the supposed overcoming of virtual or intangible barriers that would make non-achievers achieve. About a couple of decades ago, there used to be a movement by some enthusiast who claimed that there are no natural differences between people. This means that everybody is equally equipped biologically to do everything potentially, equally well. One will always become equal to one's superiors in some field if one keeps pushing and pushing, and creating discrimination lawsuits to see the inferior person in a new light.

As such, the theory that there are no natural differences between people is not supported by the hard core findings of medical science,

122

They have actually found that some parts of the brain are active when we perform certain activities, and naturally people with certain parts of the brain being more developed would mean a higher intelligence in that particular activity.

However that will not stop a true enthusiast from



pursuing that as a line of argument and sadly physicists have shown themselves to have such a weakness.

Physicists have been writing that they deplore the fact that 50% of physicists are women, and have also been resorted to crudities by displaying pictures videos and grinning blowups of women and of minority races to use the power of pictures to draw desirables towards themselves

They say (Matthieu Beauville) that the fact of having fewer women than men in Physics is an ARTIFICIAL SITUATION set by “society” even though they acknowledge that it has always been that way.

From this we can see that in effect physicists are in error scientifically when they claim, in effect, that there are no differences between male and female brains, and so women are equally equipped to be in Physics. That is crap, and it is obviously a temporary sexual fetish and murderous and highly inconsiderate religion.

123

But from the horrible way they treat me, a bit like a Nazi looks at a Jew, I can see, as a woman, an ethnic visible minority and physicist who has been kicked out of Science by The Institute of Physics where they will never give a reason, I can see that The Institute of Physics will need something other than being a woman or being coloured to be promoted by them. I am dirt to them. I am expected to live inside a maximum security prison so to speak where I will never speak to a man if I

try to do Physics. Whereas another type of woman, one who does not have facility with men, is socially acceptable to Physicists and therefore elaborately provided with same sex sexual relations opposite sex sexual relations and what not to get them sexually satisfied to bits to keep them in Physics and in that way to keep women staying in and coming to Physics

An example of social climate control imposed to make society different from what is otherwise an inevitable loss, is the custom or criminally prosecuting a person who uses the word “nigger”

It is not apparent that anti-racism laws are a form a social climatic control because, owing to the simple nature of these laws, we have until now assumed that these laws only mean giving a right of passage to persons of others races, and to not assault them physically.

124

When men treat me with terrible disrespect as a woman such as Kalmus asking me to drink from a secretary's backside, denying me all opportunities that should be available at a learned society but only supplying me with women we see that they do love women but despite being coloured, I am not their sort of woman.

Kalmus says he cannot understand any human issue because he is not a social scientist. Well Neither am I. And I believe that people are responsible for how they behave. I have also been told by a physicist (white male) that psychology is a branch of Biology. And Please don't expect a psychologist to clarify for you that this is incorrect, any more than you can expect a rat to be the one to clarify that it does not run as far out as a cat but burrows instead. These are the days when people have no humility whatsoever, and are consumptive, eager to receive, and desirous of never wanting to think. If you want any clarification about differences, always ask the greater one who is more likely to be truthful in the matter of differences than the lesser one

Anyhow that should tell you that the physicists like secretaries and sociologists more than they like women physicists. Unless they have the sexual pathology liked by physicists such as having an in-built sexual identifies man as master. Women in Physics have been said in writing to have such sexual instincts.

125

In fact as practitioners of diversity doctrine, they (Avril McDonald) has postulated this handicap as a basic and inevitable one for all woman kind

Instead of asking her "Madam, will you pass the test?", we see that she is writing opinions to champion the

diversity movement, while we are not saying she tells the truth.

The point is only women who have a sexual instinct that makes them see man as master count as human beings for The Institute of Physics. And these elaborate parties called SETNET Ambassador groups, travelling to distant towns staying in five-star hotels in group setting up otherwise meaningless “popcorn stalls” to spread the word of Physics three-minute regional heats getting it off on the game etc (See Figures 3, 8) are a sort of greenhouse to attract women who would otherwise hate Physics into Physics. They are having elaborate do’s to attract women because those women hate cool and rational objectivity that makes a scientist, and prefer intimate situations (See charter of demands in the red booklet “women in Physics” that they want the work place to become more intimate).

So, to make women “come to Physics” elaborate schemes to provide that “women’s intimacy” like parties and intimate social events where everyone shouts the word “Physics” in groups. The women are rounded up and “brought to Physics”. They get some sex, and then they get some Physics.

126

In recent years various items that carry various thought forms that are detrimental to social climate control to assist the diversity movement have ceased to be in print in the hope of weakening those thought forms in society so that the diversity peoples might be successful as social climbers to positions of power



whereas until now they have been ridden with inferiority complex and acted ludicrously.

One of the things that has gone out of print are brain differences between men and women. I will tell you why IOP male physicists say that psychology is a branch of biology which is incorrect. Traditionally three times as many women have found happiness under the machinery of a psychologist than men, but according to psychologists "Now everyone is becoming equal, and equal numbers are increasing coming to trust us and get head-treated by us.

I can tell in that recently psychologists have put in print that they had never ever said men are mostly left-brained and women mostly right-brained. But I have a piece of software which gives you an testing questionnaire for this thing, which was given to me by a male Canadian who was aged over 60. As such this item may not be available in the UK. What I am saying is that in an indirect way rather than through the law, there is censorship of what comes in the local magazines and papers. When you see these grinning faces, you feel that everyone is happy and that it is socially unacceptable to not be happy

127

I myself know that about a decade ago some American psychology paperback that sold over a million copies talked about how left brained women or thinkers were baited by men and at risk to being dumped by their husbands in favour of some stupid and subservient-minded woman. Now in the UK they believe there are



no women who are stupid as they say equality has been achieved. But it is clear that psychologists are lying en masse that such concepts never existed and it won't be too hard for me if given time and \$\$ to bring out every single lie that is a foundation for the diversity movement

Psychologists in the Mensa magazine have written that the fundamental nature of woman is to want people and faces more than machines. He adds, making sure to make himself a bit ambiguous, that women who are the other way around have a mental disorder. The Mensa editor shuns me (responds s if I were dead) to my counter-article. They shun women who are equal to men in the intellectual realm, as they want to make women's mental disadvantage a basic and unavoidable fact of life and want to exterminate and remove any counter-examples. That's part of the supposed eugenics program in place at universities I think. They want a sexual paradise on earth for women who have women's mental disadvantage and give them evidence there has never been a woman in human history without this handicap.

They also represent the handicap in women to look like something very desirable or as the fault of others. Psychologists are planning to find ways to sell "male intelligence" to women in return for credit card payments, so anyone can all have these things as long as one pays for it.

One way of removing all evidence of the existence of women with more mentally in common with men than women is to lift barriers for women with women's handicap and pose an unsurmountable barrier to women who are equal to men. You will never get recognition of your natural intelligence as this is not on the political agenda.

Now the experience of life is for me a torture. Being in a maximum security prison where only women will speak to me is pure torture. I am baited for this but cannot change my nature. So it will continue to be a torture. There is also no reward for effort and everything seems to be in the clutches of (or reserved for) someone who is overcoming some kind of barrier (has "opportunities" reserved for them because they have no motivation and lack mental development) which is contrary to the principle of fairness. .

Practitioners of diversity doctrine don't care about hurting and punishing people as they know they are protected by the law and so are the super-delicate woman species such as "women in physics"

Again, the claims by psychologists in recent years might be grossly fraudulent, and physicists who feel that psychology is a branch of Biology are only adding unwarranted credence to a mushy non-scientific

subject that tends to be a prop to more women than men, and so creating an error in perception that lifts women to heights that cannot be attained by speaking only the truth

I am baited by men tortured to be in women's groups while because of one-sided and overwhelming popularity there will never be any evidence and I have to live without a social life, while some inappropriate women come up to me and say I must get into groups with them and do what they like (I have no personal liberties to do what I like as I like men's "things" and that used to be a normal thing but here is is non-existent and all men shun and disrespect me for not having women's mental disadvantage while torture isolation and punishment is done to me to "prove" I do have their disadvantage

I believe the racial or eugenics program of achieving 100% equality is when there is 100% agreement about them and nobody will ever utter a squeak about women other than endless songs of encouragement and praise

I believe the racial eugenics for women or diversity religion, Physics of sex, or A Sexual Paradise for Women" (call it what you like) started with men's preference for women who are less intelligent than he.

129

So you have a man having a whale of a time encouraging a weak person to become a strong person, and for them to "overcome" the natural handicap of seeing man as master during sex, and for them to stay that way, and yet rise to positions of power

The desire to have a woman his inferior and enjoy getting her to rise to heights became so expanded as a project that the women in question no longer need to be his wife. Women have been grouped by psychological characteristics and anyone who is terribly backward in women's handicap becomes a sort of hero for men to promote in physics or positions where you exercise reason and judgement. When Avril McDonald said what handicap women, it is because **women without this handicap don't count as human beings** and there are no opportunities for me expecting to die. **Unless they become "women's handicap sex instructor"**

I however believe that saying the word "ludicrous" in front of a practitioner of diversity doctrine will evoke upon myself a more severe deprivation and punishment than one expects if one were to rip up the Koran in front of Ayatollah Khomeini or entertained capitalist though forms visibly in front of Stalin. I will face unending pain and punishment but it is done legally, and for him and his darling backward and growth-mad women

In short diversity is a sort of a racial evolution. When applied to women, rather than to members of a particular race, this should mean that they are overcoming inferiority. Naturally, if a group of people all of who are slated or taken under the wing of a



diversity program to reach the top, or to reach a particular goal, then those who are at the bottom are the ones who are going to climb the most

As they are so keen on giving equality to backward women, hence The Institute Of Physics attraction to ethnic minorities, to ensure they round up a lot of backward women

Kalmus's hatred of me, as well as Ross's as well as Adrian's of Hitesh's are like the peasants felt before the Communist revolution they wanted to kill and loot the aristocracy. If you are seen as a have, those who see themselves as have-nots will kill you to establish equality.

I am seen with racial hatred as I am not a member of the race of women with women's difficulty. It does not matter is Kalmus is very good at Physics compared to me, because he sees me as a woman and compares me to his wife whom he likes rather than to himself, and she is more like a secretary than a physicist.

Again tyranny is applied to make sure there is a complete merger of the secretary and say physicist, and as such I have said one of those things that will evoke more punishment that if I were to tear a Koran

in front of the Ayatollah. Well Women in Physics is a sexual paradise where women in authority have completely merged with secretaries and the amount of popularity of this scheme does not take into account sufferings of whoever needed to be exterminated. When they are acting out, their behaviour is transparent.

As such the secretary, the have-not, who needs to be lifted over the barrier into the world of men (equals) is a peasant and to her and to Kalmus for example I am the aristocracy. Don't misunderstand as I have no job or money no friends and have been let to die like a dog or work it out with groups of women and the person to whom I tell my closest feelings is supposed to be a woman.

Kalmus sees me as aristocracy to a peasant he wholeheartedly sympathizes with, and he wants her to loot and plunder me. Accordingly women are very abusive to me and there is not a damn soul I can talk to about it. I have to swallow abuse alone, or do the obvious, go to women's groups to talk about it. What I cannot have is respect from any man thanks to this type of equality.

I know that I am going to die from this and will die and perish in oblivion with no recognition of my God Given intelligence and nobody will know I was tortured as people are blind with woman passion .

An example of the woman development is the Cambridge Ethnic Community Forum where I had free computer use when I lived with my ex-husband Nicholas on the River Cam. People of certain ethnic backgrounds, namely English French and Germans were not entitled to computer use at CECF and were turned away saying that the computers were not for white people. But :Poles, Checks, anyone that could possibly be seen as “backward” got free use of their computer terminals. Being coloured the scheme, run by a tyrant called George Jones worked pretty well for me until a troupe of women had just arrived from India and could not speak English, and were going to train in ABCD there.

A white woman also came as trainee to take care of the place and she looked green with envy when I used a computer. As part of gender equality she was allowed to stop me having anything I wanted, which had never happened before and had no reason but her women’s urge .

Soon I became a victim of abuse and a second class citizen to those Indians who were doing their ABCD. I had just found out the George was a racial incident

support officer and so I promptly brought it to his attention that I had had a racist incident as I was treated poorly as soon as women came on the scene and a second class citizen with respect to \Indian women who were learning their ABCD

All George could tell me was that he could not help me, but it was some other type of professional who would. It was revealed that was a psychologist

Notes:-



# Jobs and opportunities at IOP are reserved for

Say Yes to the Virgin Credit Card

Get it now - £0 Credit Report

6 months free Sports/Movies

Loans, Nothing to repay for 5 Mths

women who have a particular sexual pathology as per printed doctrine

Figure 1

poorly of you, or does not respect your feelings, their advice is unreliable, no matter how good they might be at giving advice. I question the logic behind the advice. You are more educated than me and I'm sure you now that no advisor has the authority of God and so all advice must be questionable. I hope I will eventually be able to clarify if not from you, from somebody else, either at the Inst Phy or elsewhere, about this advice, meanwhile, I shall not want any from her. I want to go to human rights organisation about women who have the authority of God. I hope this email does not upset anyone as it is not intended to do so, I have had a lot of people "warned" by telling lies etc. I hope Vishanti's unconditional credibility is not maintained by anyone reporting things that didn't happen. Nobody can have confidence that somebody else is perfect, even though you can now some things after you now a person. Telling lies is bad for one's soul. God does not like it!! Thanks again, Mohini

Adrian Huntley <Adrian.Huntley@iop.org> wrote:

Mohini

Thanks for your message. I've been on Paternity leave for the last two weeks so may not have been able to respond to your earlier messages.

Vishanti is the Institute's Careers Adviser. I have full confidence in her professionalism and impartiality and I would encourage you to take on board her advice and continue to explore the options available with her. My role at the Institute is to manage our Business Programme and any advice I have been able to give on potential Careers is strictly in support of Vishanti.

I would also encourage you to pursue your application to the Institute's Benevolent Fund which was set up to support members in need.

I wish you luck in getting your feet back on the ground and finding a suitable Career opening.

Kind Regards

Adrian

—  
**Dr Adrian Huntley MInstP**  
Business Programme Manager  
Institute of Physics  
76 Portland Place  
London W1B 1NT  
Tel: +44 (0)20 7470 4819  
Mobile: +44 (0)7769 755056

---

**From:** Mohini Hersom [mailto:mohinihersom@yahoo.co.uk]  
**Sent:** 07 November 2005 16:44  
**To:** Adrian Huntley  
**Subject:** Urgent

Dear Adrian

Thank you for reading my email, and sending a reply. I tried calling you from home, but somehow my phone card is not working. I urgently need career advice from a person who not only has knowledge of current trends in employment of Physics graduates, but is also able to give the kind of advice that is appropriate to whom it is being given.

I met Vishanti even before I joined the Institute of Physics, and she has consistently told me that knows absolutely nothing about employment opportunities. Further the subsequent advice which from her is similar to needing plastic surgery to change my face; which is not helpful. I don't want head changes contempt and smirking, I need to speak to a MALE who can advise me about employment trends and where to start. I dislike going about anything blindfolded. I have never done so in my life. I cannot begin my job search properly until I have got direction. I am not an animal. I cannot and should not have to do it alone. I cannot become

Men  
use  
tyran-  
ny  
doom  
threat  
s to  
make  
me  
agree  
she is  
a good  
advi-  
sor--  
sexual  
fe-  
tishes  
domin-  
are  
the  
IOP

Figure  
2

# 6 letters

## Institute of Physics

## interactions

**President** Prof. Sir John E Enderby  
**Education** Dr Elizabeth Swinbank  
**Science** Prof. Carole Jordan FRS C  
**Membership and Electronic Ser**  
**Publishing** Mr Jerry Cowhig.

**Editor** Ayala Ochert, **Assistant Edi**  
Institute of Physics, 76 Portland Pla

### LETTER FROM

#### ...the careers team



When did you know what you wanted to do in life? For the lucky few who have always known, career planning has been simple. But for

many it can take years of trial and error before they find something that makes them happy and fulfilled.

To help to guide our members through these difficult decisions, last year the Institute appointed a careers adviser – Vishanti Lall – who can offer one-to-one advice to those who need it. But we believe that there's much more that we can offer, and we've been looking at ways of improving our services to members who want to develop their careers.

A good starting place is our student members, who are just about to embark on physics careers. Many of our partners in industry have expressed concern about the declining interest in the physical sciences among young people, but we know there are plenty of talented young physicists out there.

Here at the Institute, we're well placed to bring the two together because we have strong links with student physics societies, university physics departments and our Business Partners Network of physics-based companies.

So on 27 October the Institute will be

### Lost and found

Over the summer the Ir introduced new credit- membership cards, wh some unexpected bene few weeks we have rece separate phone calls fro of the public who had c lost wallets containing t From the details given v to identify the members them and put them in tc person who had found t

This story has two mc ensure you keep your m card with you at all time: give the membership de your up-to-date details t <http://members.iop.org> in to change your record

**Lisa Cornwell**

Membership department, Inst

Write to [interactions@iop.org](mailto:interactions@iop.org) above. Letters may be edited

## notices

**NEW BUSINESS I**  
Withers & Rogers LLP.

### NEW MEMBERS

Akhlaq Aslam, Keith Barrett, .  
Neto. Ioan Cabral. Robert Da

**Branch AGM 6.00 p.m. 1 May, University of Sussex**

## Physics posters reach out to Manchester's kids

Did you know that your car has more computing power than the system that guided Apollo astronauts to the moon?

Many bus passengers and shoppers in Manchester should by now have read this, together with five other physics-related titbits. During October 2007, a series of six posters produced by the Institute of Physics were displayed inside buses and at bus stops throughout central Manchester. Each carried an interesting fact displayed alongside imaginative graphic illustrations and included the physics.org website address.

They were launched to coincide with the Manchester Science Festival. The posters were aimed at teenagers, and their impact is currently being evaluated by a researcher commissioned by the Institute of Physics to see whether using the media



The IOP outreach group in Manchester with one of the posters.

sitting on buses and standing at numerous bus stops asking teenagers a series of questions to gauge what they thought of the posters.

Now that the campaign has finished, teenagers at the same locations are being reinterviewed to see if they could remember anything about the campaign. It's hoped that this will give a better idea of how well the posters were noticed and recalled.

The Institute is also running a short online questionnaire on physics.org to see if anyone visited the website because of the impact of the posters.


The evaluation results were due before the Christmas break, so look out for an update in the next newsletter. In the meantime, have a look at the website to judge how effective they might be in selling physics to young people.

a sex and mating club hostile to women  
who can't be part of their mating game and  
will be shunned and excluded

## Our regional officer would love to hear from you

I am the latest and last of the regional officer to be recruited

Adult women  
over 21, mostly  
over 25 receive  
brooches calling  
them SETNET  
ambassadors  
run around  
crying Phys-  
ics



Regional officer Simon Clabburn and his four-month old son Zack

opportunities that the Institute could/should be involved with. I really think this is where

Ludicrous  
is photo of  
Liz Ains-  
bury with  
spread  
arms call-  
ing out to  
women

Surely Physics is not a religion that can be spread by groups of men and women going to another town staying in a five-star hotel hosting "popcorn stall" to spread the word of Physics. Pictures of women with open arms

# Early Career Woman Physicist of the Year award

The £1000 prize was presented to Liz Ainsbury on 28 February by Dame Mary Richardson, CEO of the HSBC Education Trust at a ceremony at the Institute of Physics instigated by the Women in Physics Group. The award recognises outstanding work by a female physicist in the early stages of her career.

Liz has been investigating extremely low-frequency magnetic fields produced by overhead power lines and whether there is a link to health problems such as childhood leukaemia. She also volunteered as a researcher in residence for two local schools. Healthcare projects are not something school students think of when considering what a physicist might do, according to Liz.

Andrea Ma of the University of Cambridge and Elaine Baxter of Qinetiq Space Division received runners-up prizes at the awards



Above: Andrea Ma, Liz Ainsbury, Dame Richardson and Elaine Baxter. Right: Liz Ainsbury.



ceremony. Our branch had a representative at the awards. A London and South East Branch Meeting followed the award ceremony with a well-known speaker – Monica Grady, who is professor of planetary and space science at the Open University.

Her lecture, to a full house, covered the history of Martian exploration with the findings of the early telescope observers followed by results of the various Martian artificial satellites and rovers. Also considered was the question of why some meteorites we find on

Earth must have come from the red planet, and whether some of the features within them could be indications of life on Mars. A lively question and answer session followed the lecture. An opportunity to meet Prof. Grady was provided during the wine and nibbles after the lecture.

## A month in the life of an SEA

If you are really enthusiastic about science and engineering and have, or can make available, some spare time, why not become a Science and Engineering Ambassador (SEA) on the SETPOINT scheme and go into schools to encourage young people to get interested in science? I am now retired after two careers: the first as an engineering officer in the Royal Air Force for 20 years, followed by 25 years selling electro-optic components into industry. About 18 months ago I became an SEA and the following is typical of what I do in this role.

During the week beginning 22 January, Sonning Common Primary School had a Science Week on the theme of protecting the planet and I had volunteered to give a talk on "Solar water heating" as I have had a solar panel on my roof for a couple of years giving me free hot water. So on Thursday 25 January I spent the morning giving a 35-minute talk to three classes to groups of 30 Year 5 and 6 students. I had three demonstrators in my two tall pipes to see where the

temperature went when warm water was put into them; one with two glasses of water, a blue cold one and a red hot one which I miraculously joined together to show that hot water will sit on top of cold water; and a third that involved the students pretending to be hot and cold water molecules. I also had a PowerPoint series of slides to help me and a sample solar heating tube which I borrowed from the company who had installed my home system. The *Henley Standard* came along and took some photos, so I ended up in the next edition of the paper with a picture captioned "Bob Heath-Whyte carrying out molecule experiments with Sonning Common Primary School pupils looking on".

The Oxford SETPOINT group has a new set of equipment and experiments for use in primary schools and the following Monday and Tuesday they were doing hands-on training courses with the equipment at my local primary school in Chalgrove. So on Monday I was helping with IOP T equipment and a few data loggers which read temperature, light and sound and then download to a computer. On Tuesday I did a session of water rocket

construction and firing. On the Tuesday we helped with "Circuit detectives" where the pupils have to work out by simple tests what is wrong with eight different basic lamp-cell-switch circuits, and programmable Lego in which they have to program Lego traffic lights and a car-park barrier using a simple computer program.

On Thursday 1 February, SETPOINT held their annual SEAs "Jam" at the CCLRC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, in which a number of SEAs compete in giving a 10-minute presentation that is judged by a live audience of students and a panel of judges. I repeated my solar water heating presentation but ran out of time. I came second to a brilliant demonstration of radio activity by a lady from GE Health Systems in Amersham. Having won the competition last year I couldn't really complain!

On Thursday 8 February I was due to help out with a Science day at a primary school but the event was snowed out so I stayed home and built a snowman. Finally on 22 and 23 February I helped out with two science days at Tylers Green Middle School near High Wycombe, to give the "Egg challenge" on both days and

also "Circuit detectives", water rockets and the K-Nex Challenge to build fairground rides.

I'm not usually that busy in one month! One of the great things about this kind of volunteering is that you can do as much or as little as you want. Another is that it is fun. Where else do you have the opportunity to fire off 30 water rockets in an hour? And the best thing about it is the immense feeling of satisfaction when a youngster comes up to you afterwards and says "That was fun!" or "I'm going to be an engineer!" or even just "Thank you!"

Bob Heath-Whyte

## BRANCH EVENTS

**Milton Keynes Science Fair 7 to 15 July**  
Prof. N Mason (Open University) and Bridgette Holligan (Science Oxford) are among the organisers of a set of events which have been designed to commemorate the 40th birthday of Milton Keynes. The physics activities will form part of a year-long celebration throughout 2007. Our branch will be involved with some of these events.



## 4



"Naked Scientists" Brian Wallace (left), Chris Smith and Helen Scales at work.

We used to be simple and humble like the men. We were equal. But it looks like

IOP Institute of Physics

## Women want a climate change

Heather Small reports on a conference with women and global warming as its focus

Like demolishing all the worlds moutains to create environment friendly to wheelchair they demand social cliamte control a soft version of racial hygiene



These ladies will drop out of male profession unless comfortable sexual intercourse is guaranteed and provided by The Department

Figure 6

“women in Physics” won’t be in Physics unless given iron-clad sex guarantee in media

tess and guarantee of being in media

Note sexual innuendoes like “3 minutes of pleasure”  
 “animal in heat”, “getting it off coming off when you go  
 out on the game. I have been told by physicist nobody in  
 Britain will accept me because I criticise respecting prosti-  
 tutes. I am suffering loneliness torture sexual oppression tyr-  
 anny and told Britain will kick me out for saying openly  
 TRUE?FALSE? Please tell me if this is a safe just and tol-  
 erant society and they will relieve me of oppression which  
 is not recommended by the state of UK. Marieke likes it I  
 don't

IOP Institute of Physics  
 Yorkshire Branch

The newsletter of the Yorkshire Branch of the Institute of Physics

Autumn 2007



These days  
 when they  
 say gender  
 equality is  
 growing  
 female stu-  
 dents don't  
 mind if take  
 lots of snaps  
 with sexual  
 innuendoes

The British newsletters are published by the Institute of Physics, Dirac House, Temple Back, Bristol BS1 6BE, UK.

Printed by Warners (Midlands) plc, Bourne, Leicestershire, UK.

## Science gains new idol


Marieke Navin, a postgraduate student in the Department of Physics and Astronomy at the University of Sheffield, hit the heights in this year's NESTA FameLab competition.

NESTA (National Endowment for Science, Technology and the Arts) is the largest single endowment in the UK exclusively devoted to innovation. The competition has been described as science's equivalent to *Pop Idol*.

Its aim is to encourage scientists and engineers to inspire and excite the public imagination with a vision of science in the 21st century. The organisers are looking for the new voices of UK science and engineering who will be able to develop their ideas and presentation skills for an adult audience.

"We're trying to identify fabulous scientists with personality and humanity and develop and support them to become the Attenboroughs of tomorrow," said Prof. Kathy Sykes, one of the judges.

Navin received a first-class degree in physics and astronomy from the University of Sheffield in 2000. She then spent a year in the US, followed by a year in Germany, where she was a research fellow at the Max Planck Institute for Physics in Munich.



Marieke Navin in full flow at this year's NESTA FameLab competition.

I promise you she is not a smarter scientist than me but I  
 have been shunned and excluded by scientific commu-  
 nity because I have mentally more in common with  
 men. We girls in Physics in 1983 like men received  
 nothing, now female in Physics is represented as idol

went down extremely well in the audience. As part of the final master-classes, each finalist recorded audio podcasts and a video broadcast known as a 'podcast'. They can be seen at channel4.com/famelab and viewers were asked to vote for their favourite. I won was the winner of the 'podcast prize'. Winning FameLab must have a dramatic effect on a scientist's career plan. As my dream is to be the face of science on a TV science programme, the excitement is a bit like a FameLab winner should be. Here in her own words is an account of her experience of the FameLab competition.

I first read about FameLab on the Institute of Physics website. *Interaction* is back in 2005. It is the *Pop Idol* of science and a formidable way to break into the world of media and science communication, about which I am passionate. I was excited and fascinated by the competition but did not have the confidence to enter it. I had registered for e-mail updates and eagerly followed the progress of the finalists. I repeated in 2006

surrounded by museum visitors among the train exhibits, practising my talk for the final. "At 2.00 p.m. we attended a one-hour communication skills master-class, although I have

the rest of the talks.

"There followed an incredibly nervous coffee break while the judges deliberated. I was truly surprised to be announced the winner and felt totally elated. I

to attend a workshop on communicating in Cheltenham weekend we had a video and audio which were put on the website and general public.

"We also took an invaluable workshop to enhance our presentation. Our names were on a hat to decide appearance in the final. I pulled out first. "The Cheltenham Festival took the first week. FameLab final night finale. I had a blur of rehearsing shows.

"During the sound check, I was incredibly nervous. I had a warm-up session with science communicator Malcolm Lovell. There were 10 film shows from the heat. I had 10 minutes to prepare the Large Hadron Collider search for the Higgs boson. "I could then see other six finalists and then it was

**"FameLab is the Pop Idol of science and a formidable way to break into the world of media and science communication, about which I am passionate."**

to admit to being too nervous about the upcoming final to fully appreciate it. The standard of the talks in the final was

think it was the content of my talk that won the day, and also my passion for my subject that came through.

pop reaches top because gunmen and hitmen will do what it takes to get her there and teach her how to communicate by tapping in on her passion

Figure 8




# Universities get in on the game

Yorkshire will be the home of the UK's first "game academy". The Game Republic Academy (GRA) has been created by some of the country's top video games developers and universities for computer games training.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is now crucial to game development and courses offered at Yorkshire universities supported by GRA will offer gifted graduates appropriate courses in which to hone their skills.

Games are a very large sector of the economy and the industry is growing rapidly. The GRA will provide a long-term pipeline of talent for the industry.



Computer games have come a long way since the arcade

Trying out for a job in the industry is a long process.

development demands exceptional talent and such a highly technical skill set that a master's degree is vital, but students are often deterred by additional study, primarily due to high tuition fees.

The GRA removes these barriers by providing up to £3000 sponsorship per student and works closely with industry to ensure that the appropriate skills are developed.

emphasising the importance of the institution's role in providing lecturers with the opportunity to work with industry.

call from creative industries to help develop the industry.

There is nothing wrong in going out on the game. You come on, and off. 3 minutes. Only some elaborate changes have to be made to go out on the game and some people have to be sexually abused to extermination to keep the game going and enjoyable for players

## Award recognizes achievements of women in physics

The Women in Physics Group invites applications for an award. The prize is aimed at women at the start of their careers in physics who have made a substantial contribution to the subject and who may also have undertaken activities to support and encourage others in the field.

It is awarded annually to a woman who is within three years of completing her undergraduate degree in physics and who is either

working as a physicist or is engaged in postgraduate study.

The winner will receive £1000 donated by HSBC at a major Institute of Physics Women in Physics event and will also attend the annual HSBC Scholars' Day.

As well as providing recognition of the winner's work through the prize money, the award will provide valuable networking opportunities. Applicants must therefore be working in the UK or Ireland.

The Very Early Career Women Physicist of the Year Award seeks to recognise the work done by physicists who are embarking on a career in physics and to promote the career opportunities open to people with physics qualifications. Further details and application forms are available at [iop.org/activity/groups/professional/wip/index.html](http://iop.org/activity/groups/professional/wip/index.html).

Please note that all entries must be received by 31 October 2007.

**Got an idea for a branch event?**

**E-mail your suggestions to:**  
[t.greenoughgraham@physics.org](mailto:t.greenoughgraham@physics.org)

My achievements don't count to them who practise unethical policy to torture and exterminate certain types of people diversity policy

Mrs M Hersom



By recorded delivery

15 February 2008

Dear Mrs Hersom


I am writing to inform you that an Appeal Panel was established by the President following your letter dated the 28<sup>th</sup> of January 2008.

The decision of the Appeal Panel is that the appeal is dismissed and the original decision of the Disciplinary Committee to expel you from membership is upheld.

In accordance with Regulation 11.7.14 no further appeal is possible.

This letter has also been sent to you at 196 High Road, London N22 8HH

Yours sincerely



John Brindley  
Secretary to the Disciplinary Committee

## Figure 10

Figure 11

Yahoo | My Yahoo! | Mail | More

Make Y! your home page

Web Search

Search:

What's New? Mobile Mail Options

Search Mail

Search the Web

Check Mail

Compose

Mail

Contacts

Calendar

Notepad

Welcome, mohinthersom Sign Out | All-New Mail | Help

Folders

Inbox (1)

Drafts (0)

My

[Add - Edit]

[Empty]

[Empty]

[Hide]

173

7

8

Dear Millie

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL, YOU HAD BEST PHONE OR VISIT ALEX IF NECESSARY.

IF YOU DROP THE CHARGES (You must act fast as it may not be possible to drop them after December 4th) Alex promises the following:  
Not to do anything to stop you staying in the UK.  
He will keep quiet about how you staged going to the homeless shelter without shoes and the padlock on the boat. He also won't mention the how you staged being trapped in the boat and the suicidal SMS messages. You will also receive £600 from him! If he asks, his lawyer friend is willing to say that, when Alex introduced you to him, will say you admitted what you had staged but if you drop charges you have nothing to fear. One person you met at the Inst of Physics also says she heard you admit staging things to support the domestic violence case. At the moment, she only knows you by site (but that could change if you fail to act) cos you did not make yourself popular when you hogged the computer and were often talking on the phone in the physics club room.

If he had gripped anything like hard enough to strangle you there would have been bruises visible to the naked eye, even on skin as dark as yours.  
The only reason he was angry was because you were shouting and would not stop after he explained several times you were in danger of waking up the neighbors. he was not angry cos of your views!

Alex McDowell

From: "Noigogg zealley" <colinzeally@hotmail.co.uk>  
To: mirshersom@3mail.com  
Cc: mohinihome7@hotmail.com

Monday, November 27, 2006 4:49 PM

Previous | Next | Back to Messages

Delete

Reply

Forward

Spam

Move...

Mark as Unread | Print

phone in the physics club room.

If he had gripped anything like hard enough to strangle you there would have been bruises visible to the naked eye, even on skin as dark as yours. The only reason he was angry was because you were shouting and would not stop after he explained several times you were in danger of waking up the neighbors, he was not angry cos of your views!

Had you been in a detached house, or it was time when others were not sleeping or you had not shouted he would not have been angry! He accepts his behavior was wrong & has signed up for an anger management course.

Think about all the kindness he showed you! Think of the ill health you would be suffering, if he had not taken you to the homeopath! Think of all the time money & effort he put into helping you, even after you repeatedly snubbed him! He was always loyal to you!

SURELY YOU SHOULD FORGIVE HIM FOR THIS ONE MISTAKE!

Your stuff is in "your" cupboard space at Alex's flat for when you are ready to collect it along with the carriers info he got you from Vishanti. All your mail is waiting in the bin cupboard.

Political correctness & ideology did not make him doubt your theory of women, only the facts. You should not be angry with one who doubts something cos of the facts, you should be angry if its out of political correctness. He has found someone who not only believes bits of your theory but wants it to be true! Alex was neutral on wanting it to be true but would fite along side you if it was true.

If you fail to drop the charges - i.e. Alex does not walk out the police station without charge or bail, Alex & his friends will be writing to Lunar House & you will go back to India. The only reason Stinkerfer's letters didn't work was because he didn't sign them!

Love Noigog Pony.

Find Singles In Your Area Now With [Match.com!](http://Match.com!) [msnuk.match.com](http://msnuk.match.com)

Delete

Reply ▾

Forward

Spam

Move... ▾

Previous | Next | Back to Messages

Select Message Encoding | Full Headers

Compose

Search the Web

Figure 12



THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Department of Physics and Astronomy

Columbia, SC 29208

From:- Ronald D. Edge,  
220 Jadetree Dr.,  
Hopkins  
SC 29061,  
USA  
15 September 2006

I wish to provide a reference for Mohini Hersom, nee Mohini Venkataramanan. I have known Mohini for some five years or more now, and have been very impressed with her intelligence and ability.

I first met her after she had telephoned the Physics Department at the University of South Carolina, where I am professor. She was then incarcerated at "Columbia Care Center", which is under contract to the US Immigration Service. It turned out that on entering the USA from Canada, she did not have the correct papers. She was interested in physics, and since I am professor of physics at the university, I arranged to visit her on a regular basis every Saturday, and did so for a number of years, interrupted when she was transferred to El Paso. I believe she was held prisoner for approximately four years. I occasionally sent her copies of our journal "the Physics Teacher".

I consider her treatment while imprisoned under the Immigration Service little short of torture. The other inmates, with whom she had to consort, were primarily drug users and prostitutes, and I well recall seeing her with a black eye one of the other inmates had delivered. Hopefully she has now recovered from this episode. I saw her in London in February, and she appeared in good shape.

She is easy to get on with, and is quick to learn. Also, she has an inherent ability in physics. Nevertheless, her period of incarceration has not helped her.

I would thoroughly recommend Mohini in connection with teaching or research-I think her ability to communicate is extremely good. I would be happy to answer any questions you might have.

My credentials are that I graduated PhD from Cambridge, on research at the Cavendish Laboratory, followed by the Australian National University, and the University of South Carolina. My Vita is in "Who's who in America"

Sincerely,

Ronald D. Edge





When did you know what you wanted to do in life? For the lucky few who have always known, career planning has been simple. But for in take years of trial and error y find something that makes y and fulfilled.

to guide our members through ult decisions, last year the ypointed a careers adviser – ll – who can offer one-to-one iose who need it. But we :there's much more that we nd we've been looking at ways o our services to members develop their careers.

ating place is our student io are just about to embark rears. Many of our partners ve expressed concern about interest in the physical ing young people, but we e plenty of talented young there.

Institute, we're well placed o together because we lks with student physics ersity physics departments

**President** Prof. Sir John E Enderby CBE FRS CPhys FInstP, **Education** Dr Elizabeth Swinbank CPhys FInstP, **President Elect** Mr Peter Saraga CPhys FInstP, **Honorary Secretary** Prof. John L Beely CPhys FInstP, **Honorary Treasurer** Dr J A (Tony) Scott CPhys Hon. FInstP, **Vice-president** Science Prof. Carole Jordan FRS CPhys FInstP, **Chief Executive** Dr Robert Kirby-Harris CPhys FInstP, **Director, Education and Qualifications** Mr Alan Pratt CPhys FInstP, **Vice-president, Membership and Electronic Services** Mr John Brindley, **Director, Strategy, Communications and Business** Dr Paul Danielsen FInstP, **Group Finance Director** Mr Sean Fox MInstP, **Managing Director, Institute of Physics Publishing** Mr Jerry Cowling.

**Editor** Ayala Ochert, **Assistant Editor** Heather Pinnell, **Art Director** Andrew Giacchino Institute of Physics, 76 Portland Place, London W1B 1NT, UK. Tel: +44 (0)20 7470 4800; fax: +44 (0)20 7470 4991; e-mail: [interactions@iop.org](mailto:interactions@iop.org); Web: <http://members.iop.org>

## Lost and found

Over the summer the Institute introduced new credit-card style membership cards, which have had some unexpected benefits. In the last few weeks we have received two separate phone calls from members of the public who had come across lost wallets containing the cards. From the details given we were able to identify the members, contact them and put them in touch with the person who had found their wallet.

This story has two morals. First, ensure you keep your membership card with you at all times. Second, give the membership department your up-to-date details by visiting <http://members.iop.org> and logging in to change your record.

**Lisa Cornwell**

Membership department, Institute of Physics

Write to [interactions@iop.org](mailto:interactions@iop.org) or the address above. Letters may be edited for length.

## notices


**NEW BUSINESS PARTNER**  
Withers & Rogers LLP.

## FALLACIOUS PHYSICS COMPETITION

### Three wrongs that make a right

Back in June, *Interactions* launched Fallacious Physics – a competition for Einstein Year – and asked readers to send in examples of the “best of the worst physics” in movies, on television, in adverts or anywhere else they crop up.

The results are now in. First prize goes to Alex McDowell of South Ruislip, Middlesex, who spotted some bad physics in a recent episode of ITV's *Bad Girls*. In the drama about a women's prison, an inmate stabs one of the warders with a “knife” carved out of ice. To keep the weapon cool as she lay in wait, the prisoner had wrapped it in foil and stored it in a cold toilet cistern. As our winner points out, the physics is flawed on two fronts – water has a high specific heat so it would have melted the ice



©Hergé/Moulinsart 2005

quite quickly, and using foil would have been counterproductive because it's a good thermal conductor.

The two runners-up are Mahmud Fikri of Omareya, Kuwait, and Stanley Melinek of Borehamwood, Hertfordshire. Mahmud sent in the first few pages of Tintin's *The Shooting*

*Star*, in which Hergé's hero thinks he has spotted a gigantic spider in space but is reassured by an astronomer that it's just a spider sitting on the telescope's lens (pictured left). As Mahmud points out, if there really had been a spider on the lens it would simply have made the image of the star fainter. Moreover, as the focus is set on the star, the image of the spider wouldn't appear.

Stanley chose to highlight an error in William Golding's *Lord of the Flies*. The character Piggy is described as “myopic”, but Golding also says that Piggy uses his glasses to light fires. Stanley points out that a myopic person would have glasses with divergent lenses, but only a converging lens would be useful in focusing sunlight to start a fire.

Office of the Academic Registrar  
Student Support & Services  
The University of Manchester  
John Owens Building  
Oxford Road  
Manchester M13 9PL

Tel +44 (0)161 275 2071  
Fax +44 (0)161 275 5697  
[www.manchester.ac.uk/studentssupportandservices](http://www.manchester.ac.uk/studentssupportandservices)

PERSONAL

Mrs Mohini Hersom  
52 Dawley Road  
Hayes  
Middlesex  
UB3 1NB


15<sup>th</sup> May 2006

Dear Mrs Hersom

You will know from Professor Durrell's email that he has forward to me a copy of your letter.

I am sorry to hear of the difficulties you are experiencing. I do not see, however, how the University can assist as we do not make referrals to legal services. I would suggest that you seek advice from your local Citizens Advice Bureau if you have not already done so.

Yours Sincerely,



Dr P Sponder  
Head of Student Support & Services

Figure 15

**Contact details:**

Dr P Sponder  
Head of Student Support & Services  
Room 3, 1st Floor, John Owens Building  
University of Manchester, Oxford Road  
Manchester M13 9PL  
Tel: 0161 275 2071 Fax: 0161 275 5697  
Email: [pat.sponder@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:pat.sponder@manchester.ac.uk)



Phase Plot



Grayscale



Loop Diagram



Draw the 3s orbital in the box at left.



By recorded delivery



15 February 2008

Dear Mrs Hersom

I am writing to inform you that an Appeal Panel was established by the President following your letter dated the 28<sup>th</sup> of January 2008.

The decision of the Appeal Panel is that the appeal is dismissed and the original decision of the Disciplinary Committee to expel you from membership is upheld.

In accordance with Regulation 11.7.14 no further appeal is possible.

This letter has also been sent to you at 196 High Road, London N22 8HH

Yours sincerely

John Brindley  
Secretary to the Disciplinary Committee

ISBN 978-0-9555757-4-7

90000



9 780955 575747